

FACTORIZATION SEMIGROUPS AND IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF HURWITZ SPACE

VIK.S. KULIKOV

ABSTRACT. We introduce a natural structure of a semigroup (isomorphic to a factorization semigroup of the unity in the symmetric group) on the set of irreducible components of Hurwitz space of marked degree d coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ of fixed ramification types. It is proved that this semigroup is finitely presented. The problem when collections of ramification types define uniquely the corresponding irreducible components of the Hurwitz space is investigated. In particular, the set of irreducible components of the Hurwitz space of three-sheeted coverings of the projective line is completely described.

INTRODUCTION

Usually, to investigate the Hurwitz space $\text{HUR}_d(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of degree d coverings of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1 := \mathbb{CP}^1$, the following approach is used. A Galois group G of the coverings, the number b of branch points, and the types of local monodromies (that is, collections consisting of b conjugacy classes of G) are fixed, and after that the set of collections of representatives of these conjugacy classes is investigated up to, so called, Hurwitz moves (see, for example, [1] – [6]). There are several problems (for example, to describe the set of plane algebraic curves up to equisingular deformation or, more generally, to describe the set plane pseudoholomorphic curves up to symplectic isotopy, to describe the set of symplectic Lefschetz pencils up to diffeomorphisms, and so on) in which also resembling objects naturally arise, namely, finite collections of elements of some group considering up to Hurwitz moves (see, for example, [7] – [9]). (In the case of plane algebraic and pseudoholomorphic curves, to obtain such collections, one should choose a pencil of (pseudo)lines to obtain a fibration over $\mathbb{P}^1$.) As it was shown in [10], there is natural structure of semigroups on the sets of such collections considered up to Hurwitz moves, namely, so called, factorization semigroups over groups. Moreover, if we consider such fibrations not only over the whole $\mathbb{P}^1$ but also over the disc $D_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq R\}$, then this semigroup structure has a natural geometric meaning (see [10]).

In section 1 of this article, we give basic definitions and investigate properties of factorization semigroups over finite groups. In particular, we prove that the factorization semigroups of the unity in finite groups are finitely presented, and also we investigate

This research was partially supported by grants of NSh-9969.2006.1 and RFBR 08-01-00095.

the problem when an element of factorization semigroup is defined uniquely by its type and product.

In section 2, factorization semigroups over symmetric groups $\mathcal{S}_d$ are considered more closely. Here we prove a stabilization theorem and completely describe the factorization semigroup of the unity in $\mathcal{S}_3$.

In section 3, we introduce a natural structure of a semigroup (a factorization semigroup of the unity in symmetric group) on the set of irreducible components of Hurwitz space of marked degree d coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with fixed ramification types and we show that this structure induces a semigroup structure on the set of irreducible components of the Hurwitz space HUR_d^G of Galois coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with Galois group G having no outer automorphisms. Also, the results, obtained in sections 1 and 2, are applied to the problem when the irreducible components of the $\text{HUR}_d(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are defined uniquely by collections of types of local monodromies of the coverings.

Acknowledgement. Part of this work was done at MPIM, Bonn. I would like to thank this institution for hospitality.

1. SEMIGROUPS OVER GROUPS

1.1. Factorization semigroups. A collection (S, G, α, λ) , where S is a semigroup, G is a group, and $\alpha : S \rightarrow G$, $\lambda : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$ are homomorphisms, is called a *semigroup S over a group G* if for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$ we have

$$s_1 \cdot s_2 = \rho(\alpha(s_1))(s_2) \cdot s_1 = s_2 \cdot \lambda(\alpha(s_2))(s_1),$$

where $\rho(g) = \lambda(g^{-1})$.

Let $(S_1, G_1, \alpha_1, \lambda_1)$ and $(S_2, G_2, \alpha_2, \lambda_2)$ be two semigroups over, respectively, groups G_1 and G_2 . We call a pair (h_1, h_2) of homomorphisms $h_1 : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ and $h_2 : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ a *homomorphism of semigroups over groups* if

- (i) $h_2 \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \circ h_1$,
- (ii) $\lambda_2(h_2(g))(h_1(s)) = h_1(\lambda_1(g))(s)$ for all $s \in S_1$ and all $g \in G_1$.

The *factorization semigroups* defined below constitute the principal, for our purpose, examples of semigroups over groups.

Let $O \subset G$ be a subset of a group G invariant under the inner automorphisms. We call the pair (G, O) an *equipped group*. Let us associate to the set O an alphabet $X = X_O = \{x_g \mid g \in O\}$ and for each pair of letters $x_{g_1}, x_{g_2} \in X$, $g_1 \neq g_2$ denote by $R_{g_1, g_2; l}$ and $R_{g_1, g_2; r}$ the following relations: $R_{g_1, g_2; l}$ has the form

$$x_{g_1} \cdot x_{g_2} = x_{g_2} \cdot x_{g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2} \quad (1)$$

if $g_2 \neq 1$ and $x_{g_1} \cdot x_1 = x_{g_1}$ if $g_2 = 1$, and $R_{g_1, g_2; r}$ has the form

$$x_{g_1} \cdot x_{g_2} = x_{g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}} \cdot x_{g_1} \quad (2)$$

if $g_1 \neq 1$ and $x_1 \cdot x_{g_2} = x_{g_2}$ if $g_1 = 1$.

Put

$$\mathcal{R} = \{R_{g_1, g_2; r}, R_{g_1, g_2; l} \mid (g_1, g_2) \in O \times O, g_1 \neq g_2\},$$

and, with the help of the set of relations $\mathcal{R}$, define a semigroup

$$S(G, O) = \langle x_g \in X \mid R \in \mathcal{R} \rangle$$

which is called the *factorization semigroup* of G with factors in O .

Introduce also a homomorphism $\alpha : S(G, O) \rightarrow G$ given by $\alpha(x_g) = g$ for each $x_g \in X$ and call it the *product homomorphism*.

Next, we define an action λ of the group G on the set X as follows:

$$x_a \in X \mapsto \lambda(g)(x_a) = x_{g^{-1}ag} \in X.$$

As is easy to see, the above relation set $\mathcal{R}$ is preserved by the action λ . Therefore λ defines a homomorphism $\lambda : B \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S(G, O))$ (the *conjugation action*). The action $\lambda(g)$ on $S(G, O)$ is called the *simultaneous conjugation* by g . Put $\lambda_S = \lambda \circ \alpha$ and $\rho_S = \rho \circ \alpha$.

Claim 1.1. ([8]) *For all $s_1, s_2 \in S(G, O)$ we have*

$$s_1 \cdot s_2 = s_2 \cdot \lambda_S(s_2)(s_1) = \rho_S(s_1)(s_2) \cdot s_1.$$

It follows from Claim 1.1 that $(S(G, O), G, \alpha, \lambda)$ is a semigroup over G . When G is fixed, we abbreviate $S(G, O)$ to S_O . By $x_{g_1} \dots x_{g_n}$ we denote the element in S_O defined by a word $x_{g_1} \dots x_{g_n}$.

Notice that $S : (G, O) \mapsto (S(G, O), G, \alpha, \lambda)$ is a functor from the category of the equipped groups to the category of the semigroups over groups. In particular, if $O_1 \subset O_2$ are two sets invariant under the inner automorphisms of G , then the identity map $id : G \rightarrow G$ defines an embedding $id_{O_1, O_2} : S(G, O_1) \rightarrow S(G, O_2)$. So that, for each group G , the semigroup $S_G = S(G, G)$ is an *universal factorization semigroup* of elements in G , which means that each semigroup S_O over G is canonically embedded in S_G by $id_{O, G}$.

Let Γ be a subgroup of G . Denote by $S_O^\Gamma = \{s \in S_O \mid \alpha(s) \in \Gamma\}$. Obviously, S_O^Γ is a subsemigroup of S_O and it coincides with the image of semigroup $S(\Gamma, O \cap \Gamma)$ under the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $\Gamma \hookrightarrow G$. In particular, if G_O is the subgroup of G generated by the elements of the image of $\alpha : S_O \rightarrow G$, then $S(G_O, O) \simeq S_O^{G_O}$.

If $\Gamma = \{1\}$, then the semigroup S_O^1 will be denoted by $S_{O,1}$ and for each subgroup Γ of G we denote $S_{O,1}^\Gamma = S_{O,1} \cap S_O^\Gamma$.

A group G acts on itself by inner automorphisms, that is, for any group G there is a natural homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ (the action of the image $h(g) = a$ of an element g on G is given by $(g_1)a = g^{-1}g_1g$ for all $g_1 \in G$). It is easy to see that the homomorphism h defines on S_G a structure of a semigroup over $A = \text{Aut}(G)$, where the homomorphism $\alpha_A : S_G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ is the composition $h \circ \alpha$ and an element $a \in \text{Aut}(G)$ acts on S_G by the rule $x_g \mapsto x_{(g)a}$. It is easy to see that the subsemigroup $S_{G,1}$ is invariant under the action of $\text{Aut}(G)$ on S_G . Therefore $S_{G,1}$ also can be considered as a semigroup over $\text{Aut}(G)$.

To each element $s = x_{g_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{g_n} \in S_O$, $g_i \neq \mathbf{1}$, let us associate a number $ln(s) = n$ called the *length* of s . It is easy to see that $ln : S_O \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbf{a} \geq 0\}$ is a homomorphism of semigroups.

For each $s = x_{g_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{g_n} \in S_O$ denote by G_s the subgroup of G generated by the images $\alpha(x_{g_1}) = g_1, \dots, \alpha(x_{g_n}) = g_n$ of the factors $x_{g_1}, \dots, x_{g_n}$.

Claim 1.2. *The subgroup G_s of G is well defined, that is, it does not depend on a presentation of s as a product of generators $x_{g_i} \in X_O$.*

The proof of Claim 1.2 and the following proposition is very simple and therefore it will be omitted.

Proposition 1.1. ([8]) *Let (G, O) be an equipped group and let $s \in S_O$. We have*

- (1) *$\ker \lambda$ coincides with the centralizer C_O of the group G_O in G ;*
- (2) *if $\alpha(s)$ belongs to the center $Z(G_s)$ of G_s , then for each $g \in G_s$ the action $\lambda(g)$ leaves fixed the element $s \in S_O$;*
- (3) *if $\alpha(s \cdot x_g)$ belongs to the center $Z(G_{s \cdot x_g})$ of $G_{s \cdot x_g}$, then $s \cdot x_g = x_g \cdot s$,*
- (4) *if $\alpha(s) = \mathbf{1}$, then $s \cdot s' = s' \cdot s$ for any $s' \in S_G$.*

Claim 1.3. *For any equipped group (G, O) the semigroup $S_{O, \mathbf{1}}$ is contained in the center of the semigroup S_G and, in particular, it is a commutative subsemigroup.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 1.1 (4). □

It is easy to see that if $g \in O$ is an element of order n , then $x_g^n \in S_{O, \mathbf{1}}$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $s \in S_{O, \mathbf{1}}$ and $s_1 \in S_O$ be such that $G_{s_1} = G_O$. Then*

$$s \cdot s_1 = \lambda(g)(s) \cdot s_1 \tag{3}$$

for all $g \in G_O$.

In particular, if $C \subset O$ is a conjugacy class of elements of order n_C and $s \in S_O$ is such that $G_s = G$, then for any $g_1, g_2 \in C$ we have

$$x_{g_1}^{n_C} \cdot s = x_{g_2}^{n_C} \cdot s. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Equality (4) is proved in [5]. The proof of (3) is similar. □

1.2. C -groups associated to equipped groups and the type homomorphism.

Let (G, O) be an equipped group such that $\mathbf{1} \notin O$ and let the set O be the union of m conjugacy classes, $O = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$.

A group $\hat{G}_O$, generated by an alphabet $Y_O = \{y_g \mid g \in O\}$ (so called C -generators) being subject to the relations

$$y_{g_1} y_{g_2} = y_{g_2} y_{g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2} = y_{g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}} y_{g_1}, \quad y_{g_1}, y_{g_2} \in Y_O, \tag{5}$$

is called the C -group associated to (G, O) . It is obvious that the maps $x_g \mapsto y_g$ and $y_g \mapsto g$ define two homomorphisms: $\beta : S(G, O) \rightarrow \hat{G}_O$ and $\gamma : \hat{G}_O \rightarrow G$ such that $\alpha = \gamma \circ \beta$. The elements of $\text{Im } \beta$ are called the *positive* elements of $\hat{G}_O$.

A C -group $\hat{G}_O$, associated to an equipped group (G, O) , has similar properties as the semigroup S_O has. For example, like in the case of factorization semigroups, it is easy to check that for any $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}_O$ and any $g_1 \in O$ the following relation

$$\hat{g}^{-1}y_{g_1}\hat{g} = y_{g^{-1}g_1g} \quad (6)$$

is a consequence of relations (5), where $g = \gamma(\hat{g})$.

Denote by $\hat{O}$ the subset $\{y_g \mid g \in O\}$ of $\hat{G}_O$. It follows from relation (6) that $\hat{O}$ is invariant under inner automorphisms of $\hat{G}_O$.

Claim 1.4. *Let (G, O) be an equipped group. Then the semigroups $S(G, O)$ and $S(\hat{G}_O, \hat{O})$ are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. By relations (6), it is easy to see that the map $\xi : S(\hat{G}_O, \hat{O}) \rightarrow S(G, O)$, given by $\xi(x_{y_g}) = x_g$ for $g \in O$, is an isomorphism of semigroups. $\square$

Applying relations (6), it is easy to prove the following proposition (see, for example, [11]).

Proposition 1.2. *For any equipped group (G, O) we have*

$$Z(\hat{G}_O) = \gamma^{-1}(Z(G_O)),$$

where $Z(G_O)$ and $Z(\hat{G}_O)$ are the centers, respectively, of G_O and $\hat{G}_O$.

It is easy to see that the first homology group $H_1(\hat{G}_O, \mathbb{Z}) = \hat{G}_O/[\hat{G}_O, \hat{G}_O]$ of $\hat{G}_O$ is a free abelian group of rank m . Let $\text{ab} : \hat{G}_O \rightarrow H_1(\hat{G}_O, \mathbb{Z})$ be the natural epimorphism. The group $H_1(\hat{G}_O, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^m$ is generated by $\text{ab}(y_{g_i}) = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (1 stands on the i -th place), where $g_i \in C_i$.

The homomorphism of semigroups $\tau = \text{ab} \circ \beta : S(G, O) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$ is called the *type homomorphism* and the image $\tau(s)$ of $s \in S(G, O)$ is called the *type* of s . If O consists of a single conjugacy class, then the homomorphism τ can (and will) be identified with the homomorphism $\ln : S(G, O) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 1.2. *Any element $\hat{g}$ of the C -group $\hat{G}_O$, associated with an equipped group (G, O) , can be represented in the form:*

$$\hat{g} = \hat{g}_1\hat{g}_2^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

where $\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2$ are positive elements. In particular, $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}'_O = [\hat{G}_O, \hat{G}_O]$ if and only if $\text{ab}(\hat{g}_1) = \text{ab}(\hat{g}_2)$ in representation (7) of $\hat{g}$ as a quotient of two positive elements $\hat{g}_1$ and $\hat{g}_2$.

Proof. Write $\hat{g}$ in the form: $\hat{g} = y_{g_{i_1}}^{\varepsilon_1} \dots y_{g_{i_k}}^{\varepsilon_k}$, where $g_{i_j} \in O$ and $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$. To prove lemma, it suffices to note that by relations (5) we have $y_{g_2}^{-1}y_{g_1} = y_{g_2^{-1}g_1g_2}y_{g_2}^{-1}$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in O$. $\square$

Claim 1.5. *Let (G, O) be a finite equipped group. The homomorphism $\beta : S_O \rightarrow \hat{G}_O$ is an embedding if and only if $O \subset Z(G_O)$, that is, G_O is an abelian group.*

Proof. Let $O = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$ be the decomposition into the union of conjugacy classes. It is easy to see that if $O \subset Z(G_O)$ then $\hat{G}_O \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{|O|}$, where the isomorphism is induced by homomorphism ab , and in this case the semigroup S_O can be identified with semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{|O|} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{|O|}$.

If $O \not\subset Z(G_O)$, then there is $C_i \subset O$ consisting of at least two elements, say g_1 and g_2 . Let n be their order in G . Then it is easy to see that $x_{g_1}^n \neq x_{g_2}^n$ in S_O . On the other hand, their images $y_{g_1}^n = \beta(x_{g_1}^n)$ and $y_{g_2}^n = \beta(x_{g_2}^n)$ coincide in $\hat{G}_O$. Indeed, without loss of generality? we can assume that there is $g \in G_O$ such that $g_2 = g^{-1}g_1g$. Consider an element $\hat{g} \in \gamma^{-1}(g)$. Then

$$\hat{g}^{-1}y_{g_1}^n\hat{g} = (\hat{g}^{-1}y_{g_1}\hat{g})^n = y_{g^{-1}g_1g}^n = y_{g_2}^n,$$

but by Proposition 1.2, $y_{g_1}^n$ and $y_{g_2}^n$ belong to $Z(\hat{G}_O)$. Therefore $y_{g_1}^n = y_{g_2}^n$. $\square$

1.3. Hurwitz equivalence. As above, let O be a subset of G invariant under the action by inner automorphisms of G . Consider the set

$$O^n = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mid g_i \in O\}$$

of all ordered n -tuples in O and let Br_n be the braid group with n strings. We fix a set $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ of so called *standard* (or *Artin*) *generators* of Br_n , that is, generators being subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_i a_{i+1} a_i &= a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ a_i a_k &= a_k a_i & |i - k| \geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The group Br_n acts on O^n as follows

$$((g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_i, g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n))a_i = (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_i g_{i+1} g_i^{-1}, g_i, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n).$$

Usually, the action of the standard generators $a_i \in \text{Br}_n$ and their inverses on O^n is called *Hurwitz moves*. Two elements in O^n are called *Hurwitz equivalent* if one can be obtained from the other by a finite sequence of Hurwitz moves, that is, if they belong to the same orbit under the action of Br_n .

There is a natural map (*product map*) $\alpha : O^n \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$\alpha((g_1, \dots, g_n)) = g_1 \dots g_n$$

and an element $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in O^n$ is called a *factorization of $g = \alpha((g_1, \dots, g_n)) \in G$ with factors in O* .

There is a natural map $\varphi : O^n \rightarrow S(G, O)$ sending $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ to $s = x_{g_1} \dots x_{g_n}$.

Claim 1.6. *Two factorizations y and $z \in O^n$ are Hurwitz equivalent if and only if $\varphi(y) = \varphi(z)$.*

Proof. Evident. $\square$

Remark 1.1. In what follows, according with Claim 1.6, we identify classes of Hurwitz equivalent factorizations in O with their images in $S(G, O)$.

Define also the *conjugation action* of G on O^n :

$$\lambda(g)((g_1, \dots, g_n)) = (g^{-1}g_1g, \dots, g^{-1}g_ng).$$

Obviously, this action is compatible under the map φ with the conjugation action of G on $S(G, O)$ defined above.

Denote by $W = W(O)$ the set of words in the alphabet $X = X_{O \setminus \{1\}}$ and by W_n its subset consisting of the words of length n . In what follows, the elements of the set O^n will be identified with the elements of W_n (identification: $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in O^n \leftrightarrow x_{g_1} \dots x_{g_n} \in W_n$) and we put

$$W(s) = \{w \in W \mid \varphi(w) = s \in S(G, O)\}.$$

1.4. Finite presentability of some subsemigroups of $S(G, O)$. Let (G, O) be a finite equipped group. By definition, the semigroup S_O is finitely presented. From geometric point of view the most interesting subsemigroups of S_G are $S_{O,1}$ and $S_{O,1}^G = \{s \in S_{O,1} \mid G_s = G\}$. (Note that $S_{O,1}^G$ is non-empty if and only if $G_O = G$.) In this subsection, we will show that the semigroups $S_{O,1}$ are finitely presented, but for the semigroups $S_{O,1}^G$ the property to be finitely presented (and, moreover, to be finitely generated) is not obligatory.

Let $N = |G|$ be the order of G and $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$ be the set of conjugacy classes of G such that $O = \cup C_i$. For $C \in \mathcal{C}$ let $n_C = n_g$ be the order of $g \in C$. In each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ we choose and fix an element $g_C \in C$.

It is evident that a necessary condition for a subsemigroup S of S_O to be finitely generated is that its image $\tau(S)$ is a finitely generated semigroup, where $\tau : S_O \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ is the type homomorphism.

Theorem 1.1. *A factorization semigroup $S_{O,1}$ over a finite group G is finitely presented.*

Proof. Let $O = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$ be the decomposition into the union of conjugacy classes and let $1 \notin O$. We numerate the elements of $O = \{g_1, \dots, g_K\}$ so that $g_i = g_{C_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

For any $g \in O$ we have $s_g = x_g^{n_g} \in S_{O,1}$. Let $F = \{s_1, \dots, s_M\}$ be the set of elements of $S_{O,1}$ of length less or equal to K^N , where $N = |G|$, and we assume also that $s_i = s_{g_i} = x_{g_i}^{n_{g_i}}$ for $i \leq K$. Let us show that the elements $s_1, \dots, s_M \in F$ generate the semigroup $S_{O,1}$.

Lemma 1.3. *An element $s \in S_{O,1}$ of length $ln(s) > K^N$ can be written in the following form:*

$$s = s_{i_1}^{n_{i_1}} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{i_l}^{n_{i_l}} \cdot \bar{s},$$

where $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_l \leq K$ and $\bar{s} \in S_{O,1}$ with $ln(\bar{s}) \leq K^N$.

Proof. If $\ln(s) > K^N$, then in a presentation of s as a product $x_{g_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{g_{\ln(s)}}$ there are at least N coinciding factors x_g for some $g \in O$. Since $n_g \leq N$, moving n_g of these factors to the left (by means of relations (1)), we obtain that $s = s_g \cdot s'$, where $s' \in S_{O,1}$ and $\ln(s') < \ln(s)$. $\square$

It follows from Lemma 1.3 that $S_{O,1}$ is generated by the elements $s \in S_{O,1}$ of length $\ln(s) \leq K^N$, that is, $S_{O,1}$ is finitely generated.

To show that $S_{O,1}$ is finitely presented, let us divide the set of all relations as follows. The first set R_1 of relations consists of relations:

$$s_i \cdot s_j = s_j \cdot s_i, \quad s_i, s_j \in F.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_M)$ an ordered collection of non-negative integers and put $s_{\mathbf{k}} = s_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot s_M^{k_M}$. In view of the existence of relations R_1 , we can assume that all other relations in $S_{O,1}$ connecting the generators $s_1, \dots, s_M$ have the following form:

$$s_{\mathbf{k}_1} = s_{\mathbf{k}_2}. \quad (9)$$

Note that if we have a relation of form (9), then $G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}} = G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_2}}$ and $\tau(s_{\mathbf{k}_1}) = \tau(s_{\mathbf{k}_2})$.

Consider the set $\overline{R}_2$ of all relations of form (9) for which $G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}}$ is a proper subgroup of G . By induction, we can assume that the semigroups $S(\Gamma, \overline{O})_1$ are finitely presented for all equipped groups $(\Gamma, \overline{O})$ of order less than N . Since there are only finitely many proper subgroups of G and the embeddings $(G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}}, O \cap G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}}) \hookrightarrow (G, O)$ define the embeddings $S(G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}}, O \cap G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}})_1 \hookrightarrow S_{O,1}$, we obtain that there is a finite set of relations $R_2 \subset \overline{R}_2$ generating all relations of $\overline{R}_2$.

Denote by R_3 the set of all relations in $S_{O,1}$ of the form $s_{\mathbf{k}_1} = s_{\mathbf{k}_2}$ which are not contained in $R_1 \cap R_2$ and such that $\ln(s_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \leq K^N$. It is easy to see that R_3 is a finite set.

For each element s_i of the set of generators of $S_{O,1}$ with $i \geq K+1$, we put

$$n_i = \min_n \{ \ln(s_i^n) > K^N \} - 1.$$

From Lemma 1.3 it follows

Lemma 1.4. *For any $i \geq K+1$ the element $s_i^{n_i+1}$ can be written in the following form:*

$$s_i^{n_i+1} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^K s_j^{a_j} \right) \cdot s_l, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_K)$ is a collection of non-negative integers and $s_l \in F$ is a generator with index $l \geq K+1$.

Denote by R_4 the set of relations of form (10). It is a finite set. By Lemma 1.4, applying relations of the set $R_1 \cup R_4$, each element $s \in S_{O,1}$ can be written in the form: $s = s_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_M)$ satisfies the following condition: $k_i \leq n_i$ for $i \geq K+1$.

An element $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is called Γ -*primitive* if in $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_M)$ all $k_i \leq 1$ for $i \leq K$, $k_i \leq n_i$ for $i \geq K+1$, and $G_{s_{\mathbf{k}}} = \Gamma$. By Lemma 1.1, for each G -primitive element $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ we have the following relations in $S_{O,1}$:

$$s_i \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}} = s_j \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where $i \leq m$ and $j \leq K$ is such that $g_j \in C_i$. Denote by R_5 the set of all such relations. Obviously, R_5 is a finite set.

Let $s \in S_{O,1}$ be such that $G_s = G$. Applying relations of R_5 , as above it is easy to show that s can be written in the form:

$$s = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_j} \right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (11)$$

where $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is some G -primitive element. Denote by $\overline{R}_6$ the set of relations in $S_{O,1}$ of the form:

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{b_{j,1}} \right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_1} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{b_{j,2}} \right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_2}, \quad (12)$$

where $s_{\mathbf{k}_1}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}_2}$ are G -primitive elements.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that the relations of $\overline{R}_6$ are consequences of a finite set of relations R_6 . Since there are only finitely many G -primitive elements, it is suffices to show that for fixed G -primitive elements $s_{\mathbf{k}_1}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}_2}$ relations of form (12) are consequences of a finite set of relations. For this purpose, consider the semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m = \{\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid a_i \geq 0\}$.

A subsemigroup S of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ is called *non-perforated* if for any $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ the element $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in S$. Note that if S_1 and S_2 are non-perforated subsemigroups, then $S_1 \cup S_2$ and $S_1 \cap S_2$ are also non-perforated subsemigroups. An element $\mathbf{a}$ of a non-perforated subsemigroup S is called an *origin* of S if there does not exist elements $\mathbf{b} \in S$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$. Denote by $O(S)$ the set of origins of a non-perforated subsemigroup S . A non-perforated subsemigroup S with a single origin is called *prime*. It is easy to see that if $\mathbf{a}$ is the origin of a prime non-perforated subsemigroup S , then

$$S = F_{\mathbf{a}} = \{\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \mid \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m\}.$$

It is obvious that a non-perforated subsemigroup S can be represented as a union of prime non-perforated subsemigroups, for example,

$$S = \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in S} F_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Let A be a subset of S and let S be represented as the union of prime non-perforated subsemigroups,

$$S = \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in A} F_{\mathbf{a}}. \quad (13)$$

We say that representation (13) is *minimal* if

$$S \neq \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in A \setminus \{\mathbf{a}_0\}} F_{\mathbf{a}}$$

for any $\mathbf{a}_0 \in A$.

Claim 1.7. *For a non-perforated subsemigroup $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ there is the unique minimal representation as the union of prime non-perforated subsemigroups, namely,*

$$S = \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in O(S)} F_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of origins that if $S = \bigcup F_{\mathbf{a}_i}$ is a representation as the union of prime non-performed subsemigroups and $\mathbf{a}$ is an origin of S , then $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_i$ for some i .

Assume that

$$C = S \setminus \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in O(S)} F_{\mathbf{a}}$$

is not empty, then there is $\mathbf{c}_0 = (c_{1,0}, \dots, c_{m,0}) \in C$ such that $c_{m,0} = \min c_m$ for $(c_1, \dots, c_m) \in C$, $c_{m-1,0} = \min c_{m-1}$ for $(c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}, c_{m,0}) \in C$, $\dots$, $c_{1,0} = \min c_1$ for $(c_1, c_{2,0}, \dots, c_{m,0}) \in C$. It is obvious that $\mathbf{c}_0$ is an origin of S . $\square$

Proposition 1.3. *Every increasing sequence of non-perforated subsemigroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$,*

$$S_1 \subset S_2 \subset S_3 \subset \dots,$$

such that $S_i \neq S_{i+1}$ is finite.

Proof. Proposition is obvious if $m = 1$. let us use the induction on m . Consider an increasing sequence of non-perforated subsemigroups $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset S_3 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$, $m \geq 2$. Denote by $P_j = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \mid z_m = j\}$, and $S_{i,j} = S_i \cap P_j$. Then $S_{i,j}$ also can be considered as a non-perforated subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m-1}$ (if we forget about the last coordinate). By inductive assumption, increasing sequences $S_{1,j} \subset S_{2,j} \subset S_{3,j} \subset \dots$ must stop for each j . Denote by $\overline{S}_j = S_{i(j),j}$ the first biggest semigroups in these sequences.

Consider a map $sh : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ is given by

$$sh((z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m)) = (z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m + 1).$$

It follows from definition of non-perforated subsemigroups that $sh : S_{i,j} \rightarrow S_{i,j+1}$ is an embedding of semigroups. Therefore we can (and will) identify a semigroup $S_{i,j}$ with subsemigroup $sh^n(S_{i,j})$ of $S_{i,j+n}$. It follows from definition of non-performed subsemigroups that if $j_1 < j_2$, then $\overline{S}_{j_1} = S_{i(j_1),j_1} \subset \overline{S}_{j_2} = S_{i(j_2),j_2}$. As a result we obtain an increasing sequence of non-perforated subsemigroups

$$S_{i(0),0} \subset S_{i(1),1} \subset S_{i(2),2} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m-1}.$$

It must stop. It is easy to see that if $S_{i(j_0),j_0}$ is the biggest semigroup, then $S_{i(j_0)} = S_{i(j_0)+1} = S_{i(j_0)+2} = \dots$ $\square$

Corollary 1.1. *The set of origins $O(S)$ of a non-perforated subsemigroup $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ is non-empty and finite.*

Proof. If the set $O(S) = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \dots\}$ is infinite, then by Claim 1.7 we will have an infinite increasing sequence

$$F_{\mathbf{a}_1} \subset F_{\mathbf{a}_1} \cup F_{\mathbf{a}_2} \subset F_{\mathbf{a}_1} \cup F_{\mathbf{a}_2} \cup F_{\mathbf{a}_3} \subset \dots$$

which contradicts Proposition 1.3. $\square$

Let us return to the proof that the relations of the set $\overline{R}_6$ are consequences of a finite set of relations R_6 . For this purpose, note that if

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{b_{j,1}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_1} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{b_{j,2}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_2} \quad (14)$$

is a relation, then

$$(b_{1,1}n_{C_1}, \dots, b_{m,1}n_{C_m}) + \tau(s_{\mathbf{k}_1}) = (b_{1,2}n_{C_1}, \dots, b_{m,2}n_{C_m}) + \tau(s_{\mathbf{k}_2}).$$

Therefore if $\tau(s_{\mathbf{k}_j}) = (\alpha_{1,j}, \dots, \alpha_{m,j})$, then $\alpha_{i,1} \equiv \alpha_{i,2} \pmod{n_{C_i}}$ for all i . Put $a_{i,1,0} = b_{i,1} - b_{i,2}$ if $\alpha_{i,2} \geq \alpha_{i,1}$ and $a_{i,1,0} = 0$ if otherwise. Respectively, put $a_{i,2,0} = b_{i,2} - b_{i,1}$ if $\alpha_{i,1} \geq \alpha_{i,2}$ and $a_{i,2,0} = 0$ if otherwise. We have

$$n_{C_i}a_{i,1,0} + \alpha_{i,1} = n_{C_i}a_{i,2,0} + \alpha_{i,2}$$

and $a_{i,1,0}, a_{i,2,0}$ are defined uniquely by $\alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}$, and n_{C_i} . Moreover, if we denote $a_{i,j} = b_{i,j} - a_{i,j,0}$, then $a_{i,1} = a_{i,2} \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and each relation of the form (14) can be rewritten in the form

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_j}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_{j,1,0}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_1} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_j}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_{j,2,0}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_2}, \quad (15)$$

where $a_j = a_{j,1} = a_{j,2}$.

If (15) is a relation in $S_{O,1}$, then

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_j+b_j}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_{j,1,0}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_1} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_j+b_j}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^m s_j^{a_{j,2,0}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_2}$$

is also a relation for each $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ and it is a consequence of relation (15).

It follows from consideration above that the set $\{(a_1, \dots, a_m)\}$ of exponents interning into the relations written in the form (15) for fixed $s_{\mathbf{k}_1}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}_2}$ form a non-perforated subsemigroup $F_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}, s_{\mathbf{k}_2}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$. By Corollary 1.1, the set $O(F_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}, s_{\mathbf{k}_2}})$ of its origins is finite. It is easy to see that the relations (15) for fixed $s_{\mathbf{k}_1}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}_2}$ are consequences of the relations corresponding to the origins of $F_{s_{\mathbf{k}_1}, s_{\mathbf{k}_2}}$, and since there are only finitely many G -primitive elements, we obtain that the relations of $\overline{R}_6$ are consequences of some finite subset R_6 of $\overline{R}_6$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to note that all relations are consequences of the relations belonging to $R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_6$ which is a finite set. $\square$

Note that not any subsemigroup $S_{O,1}^G$ of S_G is finitely generated. For example, let $G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ be generated by two elements g_1 and g_2 . If $O = \{g_1, g_2\}$, then $S_{O,1}^G$ is isomorphic to the semigroup

$$S = \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \mid a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0\}$$

which is not finitely generated.

Proposition 1.4. *Let (G, O) be a finite equipped group. Assume that O is the union of conjugacy classes, $O = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$, such that for each i the elements of C_i generate the group G . Then the subsemigroup $S_{O,1}^G$ of S_G is finitely presented.*

Proof. In notations used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, denote

$$s_{C_i} = \prod_{g_l \in C_i} x_{g_l}^{n_{C_i}} = \prod_{g_l \in C_i} s_l.$$

We have $s_{C_i} \in S_{O,1}^G$, since the elements $g_l \in C_i$ generate G .

As it was shown in the proof of Theorem 1.1, any element $s \in S_{O,1}^G$ can be written in the form (11):

$$s = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m s_i^{a_i} \right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is some G -primitive element of $S_{O,1}^G$. If $a_i \geq |C_i|$, then by Lemma 1.1,

$$s_i^{a_i} \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}} = s_{C_i} \cdot s_i^{a_i - |C_i|} \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Therefore any element $s \in S_{O,1}^G$ can be written in the form

$$s = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m s_{C_i}^{b_i} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^m s_i^{a_i} \right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (16)$$

where $(b_1, \dots, b_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$ and $0 \leq a_i < |C_i|$, and $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a G -primitive element. Since there are only finitely many expressions of the form

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^m s_i^{a_i} \right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (17)$$

where $0 \leq a_i < |C_i|$, and $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a G -primitive element, the end of the proof of Proposition 1.4 coincides with the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

1.5. Stabilizing elements. If G is an abelian finite group, then it is obvious that the type homomorphism $\tau : S_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{|G|-1}$ is an isomorphism. If G is not an abelian group and $c(G)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of its elements $g \neq \mathbf{1}$, then the type homomorphism $\tau : S_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c(G)}$ is a surjective, but not injective homomorphism, and one of the main problems is to describe the preimages $\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$ of elements $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c(G)}$ (in particular, to describe the set of elements $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c(G)}$ for which each element $s \in \tau^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$ is uniquely determined by their value $\alpha(s) \in G$).

Proposition 1.5. *Let $S_{O,1}^G$ be as in Proposition 1.4. Then there is a constant $c = c(G, O)$ such that for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ the number $|\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{a})|$ of preimages of $\mathbf{a}$ under the homomorphism $\tau : S_{O,1}^G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ is less than c .*

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 1.4 it was shown that any element $s \in S_{O,1}^G$ can be written in the form (16). Therefore Proposition 1.5 follows from that the number of different expressions of the form (17) is finite. $\square$

Note that Proposition 1.5 is false if we consider the semigroup $S_{O,1}$ instead of $S_{O,1}^G$, see, for example, Corollary 2.4.

An element $s \in S(G, O)$ is called *stabilizing* if $s \cdot s_1 = s \cdot s_2$ for any $s_1, s_2 \in S(G, O)$ such that $\tau(s_1) = \tau(s_2)$ and $\alpha(s_1) = \alpha(s_2)$. A semigroup $S(G, O)$ is called *stable* if it possesses a stabilizing element.

Claim 1.8. *If s is a stabilizing element of $S(G, O)$, then for any $s_1 \in S(G, O)$ the element $s \cdot s_1$ is also a stabilizing element. In particular, if $S(G, O)$ is a stable semigroup, then there is a stabilizing element $s \in S(G, O)$ such that $\alpha(s) = \mathbf{1}$.*

Proof. Evident. $\square$

Conway – Parker Theorem (see Appendix in [5]) gives some sufficient condition for a semigroup S_G to be stable. To formulate this theorem, recall that a *Schur covering group* R of a finite group G is a group of maximal order with the property that R has a subgroup $M \subset R' \cap Z(R)$ satisfying $R/M \simeq G$, where $R' = [R, R]$ is the commutator subgroup and $Z(R)$ is the center of R . Such an R always exists (but non necessarily unique). The group M isomorphic to the Schur multiplier $M(G) = H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ of G . The Schur multiplier $M(G)$ is said to be *generated by commutators* if $M \cap \{g^{-1}h^{-1}gh \mid g, h \in R\}$ generates M .

Theorem 1.2. (Conway – Parker) ([5]) *Let G be a finite group, $O = G \setminus \mathbf{1} = C_i \cup \dots \cup C_m$ the decomposition into the union of conjugacy classes, and denote*

$$\bar{s} = \prod_{g \in G \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}} x_g^{n_g} \in S_G,$$

where n_g is the order of g in G . Assume that the Schur multiplier $M(G)$ of G is generated by commutators. Then there is a constant $n = n(G)$ such that $\bar{s}^n$ is a stabilizing element of S_G .

Note that a Schur covering group G of a finite group H satisfies the conditions of Conway – Parker Theorem (see [5]).

In the next section we will prove that factorization semigroups S_{S_d} over symmetric groups S_d are also stable. On the other hand, there are many finite equipped groups (G, O) for which $S(G, O)$ is not a stable semigroup.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $(H, \tilde{O})$ be a finite equipped group such that*

- (i) *the elements of $\tilde{O}$ generate the group H ;*
- (ii) *$H' \cap Z(H) \neq \{1\}$;*
- (iii) *$\tilde{g}_1 \tilde{g}_2^{-1} \notin Z(H) \setminus \{1\}$ for all $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2 \in \tilde{O}$.*

Let $f : H \rightarrow H/Z(H) = G$ be the natural epimorphism and put $O = f(\tilde{O}) \subset G$. Then there are at least two elements $s_1, s_2 \in S_{O,1}^G$ such that $\tau(s \cdot s_1) = \tau(s \cdot s_2)$, but $s \cdot s_1 \neq s \cdot s_2$ for all $s \in S_{O,1}^G$.

In particular, if $\tilde{O}$ consists of a single conjugacy class of H , then there is a constant $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $t \in \tau(S_{O,1}^G) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}$ there are at least two elements $s_1, s_2 \in S_{O,1}^G$ such that $\tau(s_1) = \tau(s_2) = t$, but $s_1 \neq s_2$.

Proof. By (i), the elements of O generate the group G . By (iii), the surjective map $f|_{\tilde{O}} : \tilde{O} \rightarrow O$ is a bijection, and if we denote $g_i = f(\tilde{g}_i)$ for $\tilde{g}_i \in \tilde{O}$, then the equality $g_i^{-1} g_j g_i = g_k$ holds in G for some $g_i, g_j, g_k \in O$ if and only if the equality $\tilde{g}_i^{-1} \tilde{g}_j \tilde{g}_i = \tilde{g}_k$ holds in H . Therefore the induced homomorphism $f_* : S_{\tilde{O}} \rightarrow S_O$ (sending the generators $x_{\tilde{g}_i}$ of $S_{\tilde{O}}$ to the generators x_{g_i} of S_O) is an isomorphism of semigroups. In particular, the restriction of f_* to $S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^H = \{\tilde{s} \in S_{\tilde{O}}^H \mid \alpha(\tilde{s}) \in Z(H)\}$ gives an isomorphism between $S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^H$ and $S_{O,1}^G$. In addition, the homomorphism f induces a surjective homomorphism $f_* : \hat{H}_{\tilde{O}} \rightarrow \hat{G}_O$ of C -groups associated to $(H, \tilde{O})$ and (G, O) (sending the generators $y_{\tilde{g}_i}$ of $\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}$ to the generators y_{g_i} of $\hat{G}_O$) such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_{\tilde{O}} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \hat{H}_{\tilde{O}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & H \\ f_* \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f \\ S_O & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \hat{G}_O & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G \end{array}$$

is commutative and such that the induced homomorphism

$$f_{**} : H_1(\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\hat{G}_O, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism compatible with isomorphism $f_* : S_{\tilde{O}} \rightarrow S_O$ (that is, if $s = f_*(\tilde{s})$, then $\tau(s) = f_{**}(\tau(\tilde{s}))$). Therefore to prove the first part of Proposition 1.6, it suffices to show that there are two elements $\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2 \in S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^H$ such that $\tau(\tilde{s}_1) = \tau(\tilde{s}_2)$, but $\alpha(\tilde{s}_1) \neq \alpha(\tilde{s}_2)$. Indeed, for such two elements we will have that $\tau(\tilde{s} \cdot \tilde{s}_1) = \tau(\tilde{s} \cdot \tilde{s}_2)$, but $\alpha(\tilde{s} \cdot \tilde{s}_1) \neq \alpha(\tilde{s} \cdot \tilde{s}_2)$ for all $\tilde{s} \in S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^H$. Therefore $s_1 = f_*(\tilde{s}_1)$ and $s_2 = f_*(\tilde{s}_2)$ are

non-equal elements of $S_{O,1}$ and $\tau(s \cdot s_1) = \tau(s \cdot s_2)$, but $s \cdot s_1 \neq s \cdot s_2$ for all elements $s \in S_{O,1}^G$ in view of isomorphism $f_* : S_{\bar{O},Z(H)}^H \xrightarrow{\simeq} S_{O,1}^G$.

It follows from Proposition 1.2 that for any subgroup $\hat{H}_1$ of $\hat{H}_{\bar{O}}$ we have

$$\gamma(\hat{H}_1 \cap Z(\hat{H}_{\bar{O}})) = \gamma(\hat{H}_1) \cap Z(H),$$

in particular,

$$\gamma(\hat{H}'_{\bar{O}} \cap Z(\hat{H}_{\bar{O}})) = H' \cap Z(H).$$

Hence, by condition (ii), there is an element $\hat{h} \in \hat{H}'_{\bar{O}} \cap Z(\hat{H}_{\bar{O}}) \setminus \{1\}$. By Lemma 1.2, $\hat{h} = \hat{h}_1 \hat{h}_2^{-1}$, where $\hat{h}_1 = \beta(\hat{s}_1)$ and $\hat{h}_2 = \beta(\hat{s}_2)$ for some $\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2 \in S_{\bar{O}}$ (that is, $\hat{h}_1$ and $\hat{h}_2$ are positive elements). Since $\hat{h} \in \hat{H}'_{\bar{O}}$, we have $ab(\hat{h}_1) = ab(\hat{h}_2)$.

Each element of a finite group H can be expressed as a positive word in its generators. Therefore, by condition (i), there are $\hat{s} \in S_{\bar{O}}$ and the positive element $\hat{g} = \beta(\hat{s}) \in \hat{H}_{\bar{O}}$ such that $\gamma(\hat{g}) = \gamma(\hat{h}_2^{-1})$. Denote also by $\hat{s}_0 = \prod_{\tilde{g}_i \in \bar{O}} x_{\tilde{g}_i}^{n_i} \in S_{\bar{O},1}^H$, where n_i is the order of $\tilde{g}_i$. Then $\tilde{s}_1 = \hat{s}_0 \cdot \hat{s} \cdot \hat{s}_1$ and $\tilde{s}_2 = \hat{s}_0 \cdot \hat{s} \cdot \hat{s}_2$ are desired elements.

To prove the second part of Proposition 1.6, let us choose elements $\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n$ generating $S_{O,1}^G$ (by Proposition 1.4, the semigroup $S_{O,1}^G$ is finitely generated in the case when O consists of a single conjugacy class) and let s_1, s_2 be elements the existence of which was proved in the first part of the proof. Denote by $t_0 = \tau(s_1) = \tau(s_2)$ and $t_i = \tau(\bar{s}_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let $GCD(t_1, \dots, t_n) = d$, $t_i = a_i d$. Then the type $\tau(s)$ of any element of $S_{O,1}^G$ is divisible by d . Let us show that there is a constant $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an element $s \in S_{O,1}^G$ with $\tau(s) = (M + j)d$. Indeed, there are $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q_i a_i = 1. \quad (18)$$

After renumbering of $\bar{s}_i$ we can assume that $q_i = -p_i < 0$ for $i \leq k$ and $q_i \geq 0$ for $i \geq k+1$. Denote by $M = a_1 d \sum_{i=1}^k a_i p_i$ and for $j = 0, 1, \dots, a_1$ consider elements

$$s_{0,j} = \prod_{i=1}^k \bar{s}_i^{(a_1-j)p_i} \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^n \bar{s}_i^{j q_i} \in S_{O,1}^G.$$

We have

$$\tau(s_{0,j}) = da_1 \sum_{i=1}^k p_i a_i + dj \left(- \sum_{i=1}^k a_i p_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i q_i \right) = d(M + j)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq a_1$. Then $\tau(\bar{s}_1^m \cdot s_{0,j}) = d(ma_1 + M + j)$. From this it is easy to see that M satisfies the property that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an element $s \in S_{O,1}^G$ with $\tau(s) = (M + j)d$, since

$$\{d(ma_1 + M + j) \mid m \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq a_1\} = d\mathbb{N}_{\geq M}.$$

To complete the proof of Proposition 1.6, note that $N = M + t_0 = M + \tau(s_1)$ is a desired constant. $\square$

It is not difficult to give examples of groups H satisfying conditions of Proposition 1.6. For example, let $H = SL_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the group of $(p-1) \times (p-1)$ -matrices with determinant 1 over the finite field $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $p \neq 2$. It is well-known that $H' = H$ and $Z(H)$, consisting of scalar matrices, is a cyclic group of order $p-1$. For $i \neq j$ denote by $e_{i,j}$ the matrix whose entries are all zero except one entry equal to one at the intersection of the i th row and j th column. Put $t_{i,j} = e + e_{i,j}$, where e is the identity matrix. It is well known that the matrices $t_{i,j}$ (the transvections) are all conjugate and that they generate the group $H = SL_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Therefore for equipped group (G, O) , where $G = PGL_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and O is the set of transvections, almost all elements of $S_{O,1}^G$ are not defined uniquely by their type, that is, $S_{O,1}^G$ (and, respectively, S_O) is not a stable semigroup.

2. FACTORIZATION SEMIGROUPS OVER SYMMETRIC GROUPS

2.1. Basic notations and definitions. Let $\mathcal{S}_d$ be the symmetric group acting on the set $\{1, \dots, d\} = I_d$. Remind that an element $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathcal{S}_d$ sending i_1 to i_2 , i_2 to i_3 , $\dots$, i_{k-1} to i_k , i_k to i_1 , and leaving fixed all over elements of I_d is called a *cyclic permutation* of length k . A cyclic permutation of length 2 is called a *transposition*. Any cyclic permutation $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is a product of $k-1$ transpositions:

$$\sigma = (i_k, i_{k-1})(i_{k-1}, i_{k-2}) \dots (i_2, i_1). \quad (19)$$

A factorization (19) of $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is called *canonical* if $i_1 = \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} i_j$.

As is well-known, any permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$, $\sigma \neq 1$, can be represented as a product of cyclic permutations:

$$\sigma = (i_{1,1}, \dots, i_{k_1,1})(i_{1,2}, \dots, i_{k_2,2}) \dots (i_{1,m}, \dots, i_{k_m,m}), \quad (20)$$

where $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 2$ and any two sets $\{i_{1,j_1}, \dots, i_{k_{j_1},j_1}\}$ and $\{i_{1,j_2}, \dots, i_{k_{j_2},j_2}\}$ have empty intersection if $j_1 \neq j_2$. If σ is written in the form (20), then the ordered collection $t(\sigma) = [k_1, \dots, k_m]$ is called the *type* of σ and the number $l_t(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i - m$ is called the *transposition length* of σ .

Note that for any $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 2$ such that $\sum k_j \leq d$ there is a permutation σ of the type $[k_1, \dots, k_m]$, and as is known, two permutations σ_1 and σ_2 are conjugated in $\mathcal{S}_d$ if and only if $t(\sigma_1) = t(\sigma_2)$. For a fixed type $t(\sigma) = [k_1, \dots, k_m]$ a permutation

$$(1, \dots, k_1)(k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2) \dots \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} k_i + 1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \right)$$

is called the *canonical representative* of the type $t(\sigma)$. The type $t(\sigma_1) = [k_{1,1}, \dots, k_{m_1,1}]$ is said to be *greater* than the type $t(\sigma_2) = [k_{1,2}, \dots, k_{m_2,2}]$ if there is $l \geq 0$ such that $k_{1,i} = k_{2,i}$ for $i \leq l$ and $k_{1,l+1} > k_{2,l+1}$ (here $k_{j,i} = 0$ if $i > m_j$). We say that a cyclic permutation $\sigma_1 = (j_1, \dots, j_{k_1})$ is *greater* than a cyclic permutation

$\sigma_2 = (l_1, \dots, l_{k_2})$ if either $t(\sigma_1) > t(\sigma_2)$ or if $t(\sigma_1) = t(\sigma_2)$ then there is $r < k_1 = k_2$ such that $j_1 = l_1, \dots, j_r = l_r$, and $j_{r+1} > l_{r+1}$ in the canonical factorizations of σ_1 and σ_2 . Finally, we say that a permutation σ_1 is *greater* than a permutation σ_2 if either $t(\sigma_1) > t(\sigma_2)$ or if $t(\sigma_1) = t(\sigma_2)$ and $\sigma_i = \sigma_{i,1} \dots \sigma_{i,m}$, $i = 1, 2$, are cyclic factorizations, then there is l such that $\sigma_{1,j} = \sigma_{2,j}$ for $j < l$ and $\sigma_{1,l} > \sigma_{2,l}$. Denote by $\mathcal{T} = \{t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_N\}$ the set of all types of permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$.

By definition, the factorization semigroup $\Sigma_d = S(\mathcal{S}_d, \mathcal{S}_d)$ over the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_d$ is generated by the alphabet $X = \{x_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d\}$. Let $s = x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_n}$ be an element of Σ_d . Applying relations (1) and (2), we can assume that $t(\sigma_1) \leq \dots \leq t(\sigma_n)$, then the sum $\tau(s) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i t_i$ is the *type* of s , where a_i is the number of factors x_{σ_j} , $t(\sigma_j) = t_i$, interning in s .

For a subgroup Γ of $\mathcal{S}_d$ denote $\Sigma_d^\Gamma = \{s \in \Sigma_d \mid \alpha(s) \in \Gamma\}$.

2.2. Decompositions into products of transpositions. Denote by T_d the set of transpositions in $\mathcal{S}_d$. The subsemigroup S_{T_d} of Σ_d is generated by $x_{(i,j)}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, $i \neq j$, being subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(i,j)} &= x_{(j,i)} \text{ for all } \{i, j\}_{ord} \subset I_d; \\ x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_3)} &= x_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)} = x_{(i_1, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_2, i_3)} \text{ for all } \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}_{ord} \subset I_d; \\ x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot x_{(i_3, i_4)} &= x_{(i_3, i_4)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)} \text{ for all } \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}_{ord} \subset I_d \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

(here $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}_{ord}$ means a subset of I_d consisting of k ordered elements, so that for any subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ of I_d we have $k!$ ordered subsets $\{\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_k)\}_{ord}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k$).

Denote by $S_{T_d, \mathbf{1}} = S_{T_d} \cap \Sigma_{d, \mathbf{1}}$. By Proposition 1.1 (4), the semigroup $\Sigma_{d, \mathbf{1}}$ is a subsemigroup of the center of Σ_d . In particular it is a commutative semigroup.

It is easy to see that for each $\{i, j\} \subset I_d$ the element $s_{(i,j)} = x_{i,j} \cdot x_{i,j} = x_{(i,j)}^2$ belongs to $S_{T_d, \mathbf{1}}$. The element

$$h_{d,g} = s_{(1,2)}^{g+1} \cdot s_{(2,3)} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(d-1,d)} \in S_{T_d, \mathbf{1}} \subset \Sigma_d$$

is called a *Hurwitz element of genus g* .

Lemma 2.1. *For any ordered subset $\{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}\}_{ord} \subset I_d$ and for any i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, the element $s = x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot x_{(j_2, j_3)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_{k+1})} \in S_{T_d}$ is equal to*

$$s_i = x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i-1}, j_i)} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_{k+1})} \cdot x_{(j_{k+1}, j_{i+1})} \cdot x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)}.$$

Proof. By (21), we have (in each step of transformations the underlining means that we will transform the underlined factors and the result of transformation is written in brackets)

$$\begin{aligned} s &= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot x_{(j_2, j_3)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_{k+1})} = \\ &= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_i, j_{i+1})} \cdot \left(x_{(j_i, j_{k+1})} \cdot x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} \right) = \\ &= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i-1}, j_i)} \cdot \left(x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{k+1})} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_{i+1})} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} = \\ &= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i-1}, j_i)} \cdot \left(x_{(j_i, j_{k+1})} \cdot x_{(j_{k+1}, j_{i+1})} \right) \cdot x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *For any ordered subset $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}_{ord} \subset I_d$ and for any i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, the element $s = x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot x_{(j_2, j_3)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_k)} \in S_{T_d}$, where $k \leq d-1$, is equal to $s_i = x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i-1}, j_i)} \cdot x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_{i+1})}^2$.*

Proof. By (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot x_{(j_2, j_3)} \cdot \dots \cdot \underline{x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_k)}} = \\
&= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot x_{(j_2, j_3)} \cdot \dots \cdot \underline{x_{(j_{k-2}, j_{k-1})} \cdot (x_{(j_i, j_{k-1})} \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)})} = \dots = \\
&= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i-1}, j_i)} \cdot \underline{x_{(j_i, j_{i+1})} \cdot (x_{(j_i, j_{i+1})} \cdot x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} = \\
&= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i-1}, j_i)} \cdot \underline{x_{(j_i, j_{i+1})}^2 \cdot x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})}} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} = \\
&= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i-1}, j_i)} \cdot \underline{(x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_{i+1})}^2)} \cdot x_{(j_{i+2}, j_{i+3})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} = \dots = \\
&= x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{i-1}, j_i)} \cdot x_{(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2})} \cdot \dots \cdot (x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} \cdot x_{(j_i, j_{i+1})}^2) = s_i.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *The following equalities:*

$$x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_2, i_3)} = x_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_3)}^2 = x_{(i_1, i_3)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_2, i_3)} = x_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2; \quad (22)$$

$$x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_2, i_3)}^2 = x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_1, i_3)}^2 = x_{(i_2, i_3)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_1, i_3)}^2 \quad (23)$$

hold for all ordered triples $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}_{ord} \subset I_d$; and

$$x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_3, i_4)}^2 = x_{(i_3, i_4)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \quad (24)$$

hold for all ordered 4-tuples $\{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}_{ord} \subset I_d$.

Proof. We will check only two of three equalities (22), since the inspection of the other equalities is similar. By (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_2, i_3)} &= x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot \underline{x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot x_{(i_2, i_3)}} = \underline{x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot (x_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_3)})} = \\
&= (x_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_3)}) \cdot x_{(i_1, i_3)} = x_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_3)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_2, i_3)} &= x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot \underline{x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot x_{(i_2, i_3)}} = \underline{x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot (x_{(i_1, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)})} = \\
&= (x_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)}) \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)} = x_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma is a particular case of Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 2.4. *For any ordered subset $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}_{ord} \subset I_d$ the following equality:*

$$x_{(j_1, j_2)}^2 \cdot x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot x_{(j_2, j_3)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)} = x_{(j_i, j_l)}^2 \cdot x_{(j_1, j_2)} \cdot x_{(j_2, j_3)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)}$$

holds, where $1 \leq i < l \leq k$.

To each word $w(\overline{x_{(i,j)}}) = x_{(i_1,j_1)} \dots x_{(i_m,j_m)} \in W = W(T_d)$, let us associate a graph $\tilde{\Gamma}_w$ consisting of d vertices v_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$, the set of edges is in one to one correspondence with the collection of letters incoming in w so that two vertices v_i and v_j are connected by an edge if the letter $x_{(i,j)}$ is contained in w , in particular the number of edges connecting vertices v_i and v_j coincides with the number of entry of the letter $x_{(i,j)}$ in w . The edges of the graph $\tilde{\Gamma}_w$ are numbered according to the position of the corresponding letter in w . Denote by V_{iso} the set of isolated vertices of $\tilde{\Gamma}_w$ (that is, a vertex v_i is *isolated* if it is not connected by an edge with some other vertex of $\tilde{\Gamma}_w$) and put $\Gamma_w = \tilde{\Gamma}_w \setminus V_{\text{iso}}$.

Lemma 2.5. *For any $s \in S_{T_d}$ and for any $w_1, w_2 \in W(s)$ the graphs Γ_{w_1} and Γ_{w_2} have the same sets of vertices $V(s) = V(\Gamma_{w_1}) = V(\Gamma_{w_2})$.*

Proof. It easily follows from relations (21). $\square$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $s \in S_{T_d}$ be of length $k \leq d - 1$. Then $\alpha(s) \in \mathcal{S}_d$ is a cyclic permutation of length k if and only if s satisfies the following condition:*

$$\text{there is a word } w \in W(s) \text{ whose graph } \Gamma_w \text{ is a tree.} \quad (*)$$

Moreover, an element s satisfying condition $()$ is uniquely defined by the cyclic permutation $\alpha(s)$.*

Proof. Let us show that if s satisfies condition $(*)$, then there are exactly $k = \ln(s)$ words $w_1, \dots, w_k \in W(s)$ such that Γ_{w_i} are simple paths if we go along the edges according to their numbering. Indeed, it is easy to see that Lemma 2.1 implies the existence of a word $w_1 = x_{(i_1,i_2)}x_{(i_2,i_3)} \dots x_{(i_{k-1},i_k)}$ whose graph Γ_{w_1} is a simple path. Let us show that if we move the letter $x_{(i_{k-1},i_k)}$ to the left then we again obtain a word w_2 defining the same element s and such that Γ_{w_2} is a simple path. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s &= x_{(i_1,i_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{x_{(i_{k-2},i_{k-1})} \cdot x_{(i_{k-1},i_k)}}{x_{(i_{k-3},i_{k-2})} \cdot (x_{(i_{k-2},i_k)} \cdot x_{(i_{k-2},i_{k-1})})} = \dots = \\ &= (x_{(i_1,i_k)} \cdot x_{(i_1,i_2)}) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k-2},i_{k-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating such transformations k times, we find desired words $w_1, \dots, w_k$.

We have $\alpha(s) = (i_1, i_2) \dots (i_{k-2}, i_{k-1})(i_{k-1}, i_k)$ is a cyclic permutation of length k . On the other hand, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$ is a cyclic permutation of length k then it can be represented as a product of $k - 1$ transpositions $\sigma = (i_1, i_2) \dots (i_{k-2}, i_{k-1})(i_{k-1}, i_k)$ and, obviously, that $\alpha(s) = \sigma$ for $s = x_{(i_1,i_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k-2},i_{k-1})} \cdot x_{(i_{k-1},i_k)}$ and the graph $\Gamma_{x_{(i_1,i_2)} \dots x_{(i_{k-2},i_{k-1})} x_{(i_{k-1},i_k)}}$ satisfies condition $(*)$.

Now if we fix a set $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset I_d$ then there are exactly $(k - 1)!$ distinct cyclic permutations in $\mathcal{S}_d$ of length k cyclicly permuting the elements of the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$. On the other hand, there are exactly $k!$ distinct simple paths connecting the vertices $v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}$. Therefore, the elements s satisfying condition $(*)$ are defined uniquely by the cyclic permutations $\alpha(s)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.1. *For any $s \in S_{T_d}$ the difference $ln(s) - l_t(\alpha(s))$ is a non-negative even number and there are elements $\tilde{s} \in S_{T_d}$ and $\bar{s} \in S_{T_d,1}$ such that $s = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$, the length $ln(\tilde{s}) = l_t(\alpha(s))$ and $\alpha(\tilde{s}) = \alpha(s)$.*

If $s \in S_{T_d}^{\mathcal{S}_d}$ and $ln(s) \geq l_t(\alpha(s)) + 2(d-1)$, then one can find a factorization $s = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$, where $\bar{s} = h_{d,g}$ with $g = \frac{1}{2}(ln(s) - l_t(\alpha(s))) - d + 1$ and $\tilde{s}$ is such that $ln(\tilde{s}) = l_t(\alpha(s))$, $\alpha(\tilde{s}) = \alpha(s)$, moreover, $\tilde{s}$ is defined uniquely by $\alpha(s)$.

Proof. Consider the graph Γ_w of some $w \in W(s)$. It splits into the disjoint union of its connected components: $\Gamma_w = \Gamma_{w,1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{w,l}$. It is easily follows from (21) that $s = \varphi(w_1(\overline{x_{(i,j)}})) \cdot \dots \cdot \varphi(w_l(\overline{x_{(i,j)}}))$, where $w_i(\overline{x_{(i,j)}})$ is a word in letters $x_{(i,j)}$'s such that $\Gamma_{w_i} = \Gamma_{w,i}$. Let $s_i = \varphi(w_i) \in S_{T_d}$ be an element defined by the word w_i . We have $(\mathcal{S}_d)_{s_i} \cap (\mathcal{S}_d)_{s_j} = \mathbf{1}$ for $i \neq j$, in particular, $s_i \cdot s_j = s_j \cdot s_i$. Applying Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that for each i we can find a representation of s_i as a word in letters $x_{(i,j)}$'s such that

$$s_i = x_{(j_{1,i}, j_{2,i})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k_i-1,i}, j_{k_i,i})} \cdot s_{i,1}$$

and the set $\{v_{j_{1,i}}, \dots, v_{j_{k_i,i}}\}$ is the complete set of the vertices of Γ_{w_i} .

Let $x_{(j_a, j_b)}$, $a < b$, be the first factor of $s_{i,1}$ if $s_{i,1} \neq x_{\mathbf{1}}$. Then it follows from relations (21) and Lemma 2.2 that s_i can be written in the form: $s_i = s'_i \cdot x_{(j_a, j_b)}^2$. Note that $x_{(j_a, j_b)}^2 \in S_{T_d,1}$ and $ln(s'_i) = ln(s_i) - 2 < ln(s_i)$, that is, we obtain that s can be written in the form: $s = \tilde{s}_1 \cdot \bar{s}_1$, where $ln(\tilde{s}_1) < ln(s)$ and $\bar{s}_1 \in S_{T_d,1}$, in addition, $\alpha(\tilde{s}_1) = \alpha(s)$, since $\bar{s}_1 \in S_{T_d,1}$. Repeating, if necessary, these arguments for $\tilde{s}_1, \dots$, as a result we obtain that s can be written in the form: $s = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$, where $\bar{s} \in S_{T_d,1}$ is a product of some squares of $x_{(i,j)}$'s and $\tilde{s} = s_1 \cdot \dots \cdot s_m \in S_{T_d}$, where for $1 \leq i \leq m$ the elements $s_i = x_{(j_{1,i}, j_{2,i})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(j_{k_i-1,i}, j_{k_i,i})}$ are such that the subsets $\{j_{1,i}, \dots, j_{k_i,i}\}$ and $\{j_{1,l}, \dots, j_{k_l,l}\}$ of I_d have the empty intersection for $i \neq l$. Therefore

$$\alpha(s) = \alpha(\tilde{s}) = (j_{k_1,1}, \dots, j_{1,1}) \dots (j_{k_m,m}, \dots, j_{1,m})$$

and hence $ln(\tilde{s}) = l_t(\alpha(s))$.

Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, the elements s_i are defined uniquely (up to renumbering) by $\alpha(s_i)$.

Now let $s = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s} \in S_{T_d}^{\mathcal{S}_d}$ with $ln(s) \geq l_t(\alpha(s)) + 2(d-1)$, where $\bar{s} \in S_{T_d,1}$ is a product of some squares of $x_{(i,j)}$'s and $\tilde{s}$ is such that

$$\alpha(s) = \alpha(\tilde{s}) = (j_{1,1}, \dots, j_{k_1,1}) \dots (j_{1,m}, \dots, j_{k_m,m})$$

and $ln(\tilde{s}) = l_t(\alpha(s))$. Note that $ln(\bar{s}) \geq 2(d-1)$, since $ln(\tilde{s}) = l_t(\alpha(s))$.

Consider the graphs $\Gamma_{\tilde{w}}$, $\Gamma_{\bar{w}}$, and $\Gamma_{\tilde{w}\bar{w}}$, where $\tilde{w} \in W(\tilde{s})$, $\bar{w} \in W(\bar{s})$, and $\tilde{w}\bar{w} \in W(s)$. Let us show that there is a factorization of $s = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$ such that $V_{\bar{s}} = I_d$. First of all, we have $V_s = I_d$, since $(\mathcal{S}_d)_s = \mathcal{S}_d$. Assume that $V_{\bar{s}} \neq I_d$ for some factorization of $s = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$ and let $\bar{s} = \varphi(\bar{w}(\overline{x_{(i,j)}}^2))$ and $\tilde{s} = \varphi(\tilde{w}(\overline{x_{(i,j)}}))$. Since $ln(\bar{s}) \geq 2(d-1)$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there is a connected component Γ_1 of $\Gamma_{\bar{w}}$ such that for any pair of vertices $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} \in \Gamma_1$ we can find a word $\bar{w} \in W(\bar{s})$ such that $\bar{s} = (x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2)^2 \cdot \bar{s}'$.

Next, since $V_s = I_d$, then there is a pair $v_{i_0}, v_{i_2} \in V_{\bar{s}}$ such that $v_{i_0} \notin V_{\bar{s}}$, $v_{i_2} \in V_{\bar{s}}$, and $\tilde{s} = \tilde{s}' \cdot x_{(i_0, i_2)}$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$s = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s} = \tilde{s}' \cdot x_{(i_0, i_2)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot \bar{s}' = \tilde{s}' \cdot x_{(i_0, i_2)} \cdot x_{(i_0, i_1)}^2 \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot \bar{s}' = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}_1,$$

where either $V_{\bar{s}_1} = V_{\bar{s}} \cup \{i_0\}$ or for a word $\bar{w}_1 \in W(\bar{s}_1)$ the number of connected components of the graph $\Gamma_{\bar{w}_1}$ is strictly less than the number of connected components of $\Gamma_{\bar{w}}$. Repeating this transformation several times, as a result we obtain a factorization $s = \tilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$, such that $V_{\bar{s}} = I_d$. Now, to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 it suffices to apply once more Lemma 2.3. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *There is a unique homomorphism $r : \Sigma_d \rightarrow S_{T_d}$ such that*

- (i) $\alpha(r(x_\sigma)) = \sigma$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$,
- (ii) $ln(r(x_\sigma)) = l_t(\sigma)$,
- (iii) $r|_{S_{T_d}} = Id$.

Proof. Each element $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$, $\sigma \neq 1$, can be factorized into a product of pairwise commuting cycles: $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_m$ and such a factorization is unique up to permutations of factors. According to Proposition 2.1, each of these cyclic permutations σ_i defines uniquely an element $s_i \in S_{T_d}$ such that $ln(s_i) = k_i - 1$ and $\alpha(s_i) = \sigma_i$, where k_i is the length of the cycle σ_i , and therefore the product $s(\sigma) = s_1 \cdot \dots \cdot s_m \in S_{T_d}$ is defined uniquely by σ . It is easy to see that the map $\sigma \mapsto s(\sigma)$ defines a homomorphism $r : \Sigma_d \rightarrow S_{T_d}$ given by $r(x_\sigma) = s(\sigma)$ on the set of generators of Σ_d . It is obvious that $ln_t(s) = ln(r(s))$ and $r|_{S_{T_d}} = Id$. $\square$

The homomorphism $r : \Sigma_d \rightarrow S_{T_d}$ defined in Proposition 2.2 is called the *regenerating* homomorphism and the number $ln_t(s) = ln(r(s))$ is called the *transposition length* of $s \in \Sigma_d$.

2.3. Decompositions of the unity into products of transpositions. Let us consider the semigroup $S_{T_d, 1}$.

Theorem 2.2. *The semigroup $S_{T_d, 1}$ is commutative and it is generated by the elements $s_{(i, j)} = x_{(i, j)}^2$, $\{i, j\} \subset I_d$, being subject to the relations*

$$s_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot s_{(i_2, i_3)} = s_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot s_{(i_1, i_3)} = s_{(i_2, i_3)} \cdot s_{(i_1, i_3)} \quad (25)$$

for all ordered triples $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}_{ord} \subset I_d$ and

$$s_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot s_{(i_3, i_4)} = s_{(i_3, i_4)} \cdot s_{(i_1, i_2)} \quad (26)$$

for all ordered 4-tuples $\{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}_{ord} \subset I_d$. Moreover, any element $s \in S_{T_d, 1}$ has a normal form, that is, it can be uniquely written in the form

$$s = (s_{(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1})}^{k_1} \cdot s_{(i_{2,1}, i_{3,1})} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(i_{j_1-1,1}, i_{j_1,1})}) \cdot \dots \cdot (s_{(i_{1,n}, i_{2,n})}^{k_n} \cdot s_{(i_{2,n}, i_{3,n})} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(i_{j_n-1,n}, i_{j_n,n})}),$$

where $1 \leq i_{1,1} < i_{1,2} < \dots < i_{1,n} \leq d-1$, $k_l \in \mathbb{N}$ for $l = 1, \dots, n$, the sets $M_l = \{i_{1,l} < i_{2,l}, \dots < i_{j_l, l}\}$, $1 \leq l \leq n$, are subsets of I_d of cardinality $j_l \geq 2$ such that $M_{l_1} \cap M_{l_2} = \emptyset$ for $l_1 \neq l_2$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $S_{T_d,1}$ is generated by $s_{(i,j)}$'s. By Lemma 2.3, the elements $s_{(i,j)}$ satisfy relations (25) and (26).

Like in the proof of Theorem 2.1, for each $s = s_{(j_1,j_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(j_{m-1},j_m)}$ we can associate a graph Γ_w , where w is a word in letters $s_{(i,j)}$ representing the element s . The graph Γ_w splits into the disjoint union of its connected components: $\Gamma_w = \Gamma_{w,1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{w,n}$. It easily follows from (21) that $w = w_1(\overline{s_{(i,j)}}) \dots w_n(\overline{s_{(i,j)}})$, where $w_l(\overline{s_{(i,j)}})$ is a word in letters $s_{(i,j)}$'s such that $\Gamma_{w_l} = \Gamma_{w,l}$. Let $s_l \in S_{T_d,1}$ be an element defined by the word w_l , that is, $s_l = \varphi(w_l)$.

It easily follows from relations (25) and (26) that each element s_l can be uniquely written in the form

$$s_l = s_{(i_{1,l},i_{2,l})}^{k_l} \cdot s_{(i_{2,l},i_{3,l})} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(i_{j_l-1,l},i_{j_l,l})}, \quad (27)$$

where the set $M_l = \{i_{1,l} < i_{2,l} < \dots < i_{j_l,l}\}$, $1 \leq l \leq n$, is in one to one correspondence with the set of vertices of the connected component $\Gamma_{w,l}$ of the graph Γ_w . $\square$

Remark 2.1. Note that the element $s_{(i_{1,l},i_{2,l})}^{k_l} \cdot s_{(i_{2,l},i_{3,l})} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(i_{j_l-1,l},i_{j_l,l})}$ in (27) is the Hurwitz element h_{j_l,k_l-1} of the semigroup $S_{T_{j_l},1}$ if we consider $S_{T_{j_l},1}$ as a subsemigroup of $S_{T_d,1}$ and the embedding is defined by the natural embedding $M_l \hookrightarrow I_d$.

Proposition 2.3. *The Hurwitz element $h_{d,g}$ belongs to the center of the semigroup Σ_d and it is fixed under the conjugation action of $\mathcal{S}_m$ on Σ_d .*

For h_{d,g_1}, h_{d,g_2} we have

$$h_{d,g_1} \cdot h_{d,g_2} = h_{d,g_1+g_2+d-1}.$$

Proof. The first part of Proposition follows from Proposition 1.1, since, on the one hand, $\alpha(h_{d,g}) = \mathbf{1}$ and the transpositions $(i, i+1)$, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, generate the group $(\mathcal{S}_d)_{h_{d,g}}$. On the other hand, they generate the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_d$.

The second part of Proposition follows from Theorem 2.1. $\square$

Moreover, as a corollary of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we obtain that a Hurwitz element $h_{d,g}$ is defined uniquely in the semigroup S_{T_d} by its length and the following two conditions.

Corollary 2.1. (Clebsch – Hurwitz Theorem) ([1]) *Let an element $s \in S_{T_d}$ satisfy the following conditions*

- (i) $(\mathcal{S}_d)_s = \mathcal{S}_d$;
- (ii) $\alpha(s) = \mathbf{1}$.

Then $ln(s) \geq 2(d-1)$ and $s = h_{d,g}$, where $g = \frac{ln(s)}{2} - d + 1$.

2.4. Factorizations in the symmetric groups (general case). In this subsection we will prove the following generalization of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $s = x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_m} \cdot \bar{s} \in \mathcal{S}_d$, where $\bar{s} \in S_{T_d}$. For $j = 1, \dots, m$, denote by $\sigma_{j,0}$ the canonical representative of the type $t(\sigma_j)$ and by*

$$\sigma = \sigma(s) = (\sigma_{1,0} \dots \sigma_{m,0})^{-1} \alpha(s).$$

If $s \in \Sigma_d^{\mathcal{S}_d}$ and $ln(\bar{s}) = k \geq 3(d-1)$, then

$$s = x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m,0}} \cdot r(x_\sigma) \cdot h_{d,g},$$

where $g = \frac{k - ln_t(x_\sigma)}{2} - d + 1$.

Proof. Let us show that there is a factorization

$$s = x_{\sigma'_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma'_m} \cdot x_{(i_1, j_1)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_k, j_k)} = x_{\sigma'_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma'_m} \cdot \bar{s}_1$$

such that $t(\sigma_i) = t(\sigma'_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and the set $V_{\bar{s}_1}$ of vertices of the graph $\Gamma_{\bar{w}_1}$ of the word $\bar{w}_1 = x_{(i_1, j_1)} \dots x_{(i_k, j_k)} \in W(\bar{s}_1)$ coincides with the set I_d .

Indeed, let $w \in W(\bar{s})$ and assume that $V_{\bar{s}} \neq I_d$. Since $ln(\bar{s}) \geq 3(d-1)$, then there is a connected component Γ_1 of the graph Γ_w such that the number of its edges is greater than the number of its vertices. Then it follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that for any v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} belonging to the set $V(\Gamma_1)$ of vertices of Γ_1 there is a word $w' \in W$ such that $\bar{s} = x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot \varphi(w')$ and the vertices of $V(\Gamma_1)$ belong to one and the same connected component of $\Gamma_{x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 w'}$. Next, since $(\mathcal{S}_d)_s = \mathcal{S}_d$, then there is σ_l for some l , $1 \leq l \leq m$, such that $\sigma_l(i_1, i_2) \sigma_l^{-1} = (i_0, j_0)$, where either v_{i_0} or v_{j_0} (but not both) does not belong $V(\Gamma_1)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $l = m$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s &= x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_m} \cdot \bar{s} = x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_m} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)}^2 \cdot \varphi(w') = \\ &= x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m-1}} \cdot x_{(i_0, j_0)} \cdot x_{\sigma_m} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot \varphi(w') = \\ &= x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m-1}} \cdot \rho((i_0, j_0))(x_{\sigma_m}) \cdot x_{(i_0, j_0)} \cdot x_{(i_1, i_2)} \cdot \varphi(w') = \\ &= x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m-1}} \cdot \rho((i_0, j_0))(x_{\sigma_m}) \cdot \varphi(w''), \end{aligned}$$

where $w'' = x_{(i_0, j_0)} x_{(i_1, j_1)} w'$ such that either the set of vertices of $\Gamma_{w''}$ strictly contains the set $V_{\bar{s}}$ or the number of connected components of $\Gamma_{w''}$ is strictly less than the one of $\Gamma_{w'}$.

Repeating such transformations several times, as a result we obtain a factorization of s of the form

$$s = x_{\sigma'_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma'_m} \cdot \bar{s}_1$$

such that $\bar{s}_1 \in S_{T_d}$ and $V_{\bar{s}_1} = I_d$, and $t(\sigma'_j) = t(\sigma_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. For this factorization we have $(\mathcal{S}_d)_{\bar{s}_1} = \mathcal{S}_d$ and $ln(\bar{s}_1) \geq 3(d-1)$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.3 we will use induction by m . For $m = 0$ Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 2.1.

Let $m = 1$. By Theorem 2.1 we have $\bar{s}_1 = h_{d,0} \cdot \bar{s}'$ for some $\bar{s}' \in S_{T_d}$.

Lemma 2.6. *For any disjoint union $\{i_{1,1}, \dots, i_{k_1,1}\} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \{i_{1,n}, \dots, i_{k_n,n}\}$ of ordered subsets of I_d the element $h_{d,0}$ can be represented as a product*

$$h_{d,0} = (x_{(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k_1-1,1}, i_{k_1,1})}) \cdot \dots \cdot (x_{(i_{1,n}, i_{2,n})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k_n-1,n}, i_{k_n,n})}) \cdot \bar{h},$$

where $\bar{h}$ is an element of $S_{T_d}^{\mathcal{S}_d}$.

Proof. The subgroup $S_{T_{d,1}}$ is commutative and the element $h_{d,0}$ is invariant under the conjugation action of $\mathcal{S}_d$, therefore $h_{d,0}$ can be written in the form

$$h_{d,0} = (s_{(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1})} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(i_{k_1-1,1}, i_{k_1,1})}) \cdot \dots \cdot (s_{(i_{1,n}, i_{2,n})} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(i_{k_n-1,n}, i_{k_n,n})}) \cdot \tilde{h},$$

where $\tilde{h}$ is an element of $S_{T_{d,1}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{(i_{1,j}, i_{2,j})} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(i_{k_j-1,j}, i_{k_j,j})} &= x_{(i_{1,j}, i_{2,j})}^2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k_j-1,j}, i_{k_j,j})}^2 = \\ &= x_{(i_{1,j}, i_{2,j})} \cdot (x_{(i_{2,j}, i_{3,j})}^2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k_j-1,j}, i_{k_j,j})}^2) \cdot x_{(i_{1,j}, i_{2,j})} = \dots = \\ &= (x_{(i_{1,j}, i_{2,j})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k_j-1,j}, i_{k_j,j})}) \cdot (x_{(i_{k_j-1,j}, i_{k_j,j})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{1,j}, i_{2,j})}) \end{aligned}$$

and the elements $x_{(i_{l_1,j_1}, i_{l_1+1,j_1})}$ and $x_{(i_{l_2,j_2}, i_{l_2+1,j_2})}$ commute if $j_1 \neq j_2$. Now to complete the proof of Lemma, note that $V_{s_j} = \bar{V}_{\bar{s}_j}$, where $s_j = s_{(i_{1,j}, i_{2,j})} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{(i_{k_j-1,j}, i_{k_j,j})}$ and $\bar{s}_j = x_{(i_{k_j-1,j}, i_{k_j,j})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{1,j}, i_{2,j})}$. Therefore $V_{\bar{h}} = I_d$ for $\bar{h} = (\prod \bar{s}_i) \cdot \tilde{h}$. $\square$

For the canonical representative $\sigma_{m,0}$ of the type $t(\sigma_m)$ there is $\bar{\sigma}_m \in \mathcal{S}_d$ such that $\sigma_{m,0} = \bar{\sigma}_m^{-1} \sigma'_m \bar{\sigma}_m$. The permutation $\bar{\sigma}_m$ can be factorized into the product of cyclic permutations and each cyclic permutation can be factorized into the product of transpositions:

$$\bar{\sigma}_m = ((i_{1,1}, i_{2,1}) \dots (i_{k_1-1,1}, i_{k_1,1})) \dots ((i_{1,n}, i_{2,n}) \dots (i_{k_n-1,n}, i_{k_n,n})).$$

Consider an element

$$r(x_{\bar{\sigma}_m}) = (x_{(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k_1-1,1}, i_{k_1,1})}) \cdot \dots \cdot (x_{(i_{1,n}, i_{2,n})} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{(i_{k_n-1,n}, i_{k_n,n})}) \in S_{T_d},$$

where r is the regenerating homomorphism. By Lemma 2.6,

$$h_{d,0} = r(x_{\bar{\sigma}_m}) \cdot \bar{h}_m$$

with $\bar{h}_m$ such that $(\mathcal{S}_d)_{\bar{h}_m} = \mathcal{S}_d$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} s &= x_{\sigma'_m} \cdot h_{d,0} \cdot \bar{s}' = x_{\sigma'_m} \cdot r(x_{\bar{\sigma}_m}) \cdot \bar{h}_m \cdot \bar{s}' = \\ &= r(x_{\bar{\sigma}_m}) \cdot x_{\sigma_{m,0}} \cdot \bar{h}_m \cdot \bar{s}' = x_{\sigma_{m,0}} \cdot r(x_{\bar{\sigma}_m}) \cdot \bar{h}_m \cdot \bar{s}', \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{\bar{\sigma}_m} = \lambda(\sigma_{m,0})(x_{\bar{\sigma}_m})$. We have $\bar{s}'_1 = r(x_{\bar{\sigma}_m}) \cdot \bar{h}_m \cdot \bar{s}' \in S_{T_d}$, its length $ln(\bar{s}'_1) = k$, its image $\alpha(\bar{s}'_1) = \sigma_{m,0}^{-1} \alpha(s)$, and $(\mathcal{S}_d)_{\bar{s}'_1} = \mathcal{S}_d$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, $\bar{s}'_1 = r(x_\sigma) \cdot h_{d,g}$, where $\sigma = \alpha(\bar{s}'_1) = \sigma_{m,0}^{-1} \alpha(s)$ and $g = \frac{k - ln_t(x_\sigma)}{2} - d + 1$.

Now, assume that Theorem 2.3 is proved for all $m < m_0$ and consider an element

$$s = x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_0}} \cdot \bar{s}_1,$$

where $\bar{s}_1 \in S_{T_d}$ has the length $k \geq 3(d-1)$ and it is such that $(\mathcal{S}_d)_{\bar{s}_1} = \mathcal{S}_d$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s &= x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_0}} \cdot \bar{s}_1 = x_{\sigma'_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma'_{m_0}} \cdot x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \bar{s}_1 = \\ &= x_{\sigma'_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma'_{m_0}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot \bar{s}'_1 = x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot x_{\sigma''_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma''_{m_0}} \cdot \bar{s}'_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma'_j = \sigma_1 \sigma_j \sigma_1^{-1}$ and $\sigma''_j = \sigma_{1,0}^{-1} \sigma'_j \sigma_{1,0}$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$, and the element $\bar{s}'_1 \in S_d$ is such that $ln(\bar{s}'_1) = k$ and $(\mathcal{S}_d)_{\bar{s}'_1} = \mathcal{S}_d$. Therefore, by inductive assumptions, we have

$$s = x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot (x_{\sigma''_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma''_{m_0}} \cdot \bar{s}'_1) = x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot (x_{\sigma_{2,0}} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_0,0}} \cdot \bar{s}''_1),$$

where the element $\bar{s}''_1 \in S_d$ is such that $ln(\bar{s}''_1) = k$ and $(\mathcal{S}_d)_{\bar{s}''_1} = \mathcal{S}_d$. By Theorem 2.1, we have $\bar{s}''_1 = r(x_\sigma) \cdot h_{d,g}$, where $\sigma = \alpha(\bar{s}''_1) = (\sigma_{1,0} \dots \sigma_{m,0})^{-1} \alpha(s)$ and $g = \frac{k - ln_t(x_\sigma)}{2} - d + 1$. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *Let $s_i = x_{\sigma_{1,i}} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m,i}} \cdot \bar{s}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be two elements of $\Sigma_d^{S_d}$, where $\bar{s}_i \in S_{T_d}$ of length $ln(\bar{s}_1) = ln(\bar{s}_2) = k$. Assume also that $\alpha(s_1) = \alpha(s_2)$ and $\tau(s_1) = \tau(s_2)$. If $k \geq 3(d-1)$, then $s_1 = s_2$.*

Corollary 2.3. *The Hurwitz element $h_{d, [\frac{d}{2}]}$ is a stabilizing element of Σ_d , that is, the semigroup Σ_d is stable.*

2.5. Factorizations in $\mathcal{S}_3$. Consider the semigroups $\Sigma_{3,1} \subset \Sigma_3$. The semigroup Σ_3 is generated by the elements $x_{(1,2)}$, $x_{(1,3)}$, $x_{(2,3)}$, $x_{(1,2,3)}$, and $x_{(1,3,2)}$ satisfying the following relations:

$$x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} = x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}; \quad (28)$$

$$x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} = x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}; \quad (29)$$

$$x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}; \quad (30)$$

$$x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}; \quad (31)$$

$$x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} = x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}; \quad (32)$$

$$x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} = x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}, \quad (33)$$

$$x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}. \quad (34)$$

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= x_{(1,2)}^2, & s_2 &= x_{(2,3)}^2, & s_3 &= x_{(1,3)}^2, & s_4 &= x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}, \\ s_5 &= x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}, & s_6 &= x_{(1,2,3)}^3, & s_7 &= x_{(1,3,2)}^3. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $s_1, \dots, s_7 \in \Sigma_{3,1}$.

Theorem 2.4. *The semigroup $\Sigma_{3,1}$ has the following presentation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{3,1} = \{s_1, \dots, s_7 \mid & s_i \cdot s_j = s_j \cdot s_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 7; \\ & s_i \cdot s_k = s_j \cdot s_k \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, 4 \leq k \leq 7; \\ & s_i \cdot s_6 = s_i \cdot s_7 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3; \\ & s_1 \cdot s_2 = s_1 \cdot s_3 = s_2 \cdot s_3; \\ & s_4^3 = s_6 \cdot s_7; \\ & s_5^2 = s_1^2 \cdot s_4 \quad s_5^3 = s_1^3 \cdot s_6; \\ & s_4 \cdot s_5 = s_1 \cdot s_6 = s_1 \cdot s_7\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First of all let us show that the elements $s_1, \dots, s_7$ generate $\Sigma_{3,1}$. Indeed, assume that any $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$ of length $ln(s) \leq k$ can be written as a word in $s_1, \dots, s_7$ and consider an element $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$ of length $ln(s) = k + 1$. Moving the factors $x_{(1,2,3)}$ and $x_{(1,3,2)}$ to the left side, any element $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$ can be written in the following form

$$s = x_{(1,2,3)}^a \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^b \cdot s',$$

where a, b are non-negative integers and s' is a word in letters $x_{(1,2)}$, $x_{(1,3)}$, and $x_{(2,3)}$.

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, if $ln(s') \geq 3$, then s' can be written in the form $s' = x_{(i,j)}^2 \cdot s''$. Similarly, if either $a \geq 3$, or $b \geq 3$, or both a and b are positive, then $s = s_i \cdot \tilde{s}$, where i is either 6, or 7, or 4 and $\tilde{s} \in \Sigma_{3,1}$, $ln(\tilde{s}) \leq k - 1$. So we need to consider only the cases when $ln(s') \leq 2$ and either $0 \leq a \leq 2$, $b = 0$ or $a = 0$, $0 \leq b \leq 2$. If $a = b = 0$, then it is obvious that $s' = s_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, 3$, since $s = s' \in \Sigma_{3,1}$.

Consider the case $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, that is, $s = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot s'$. Since $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$ and $\alpha(x_{(1,2,3)}) = (1, 2, 3)$, we have $\alpha(s') = (1, 3, 2)$. Therefore s' is equal to either $x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}$, or $x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}$, or $x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}$. But, by (29), the last three elements are equal to each other and in this case $s = s_5$.

Similarly, if $a = 0$, $b = 1$, that is, $s = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot s'$, then we obtain that s' is equal to either $x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}$, or $x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}$, or $x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}$, and, by (28), the last three elements are equal to each other. Therefore, by (31), we have

$$s = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} = x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} = s_5.$$

If $a = 2$, $b = 0$, that is, $s = x_{(1,2,3)}^2 \cdot s'$, then we obtain that $\alpha(s') = (1, 2, 3)$ and hence $s' = x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}$. Therefore, by (30),

$$s = x_{(1,2,3)}^2 \cdot x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = s_4 \cdot s_1.$$

Finally, if $a = 0$, $b = 2$, that is, $s = x_{(1,3,2)}^2 \cdot s'$, then we have $\alpha(s') = (1, 3, 2)$ and hence $s' = x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}$. Therefore, by (31),

$$s = x_{(1,3,2)}^2 \cdot x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} = s_4 \cdot s_1$$

and as a result we obtain that $\Sigma_{3,1}$ is generated by $s_1, \dots, s_7$.

Since the inspection, that the generators $s_1, \dots, s_7$ of $\Sigma_{3,1}$ satisfy all relations mentioned in the statement of Theorem 2.4, is similar, we will check only one of them and the inspection of all other relations will be left to the reader.

Let us show, for example, that $s_4 \cdot s_5 = s_6 \cdot s_1$. By (28) – (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_4 \cdot s_5 &= x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot (x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}) \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} = \\ &= x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot (x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}) \cdot x_{(2,3)} = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot (x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}) = \\ &= x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot (x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}) = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot (x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}) \cdot x_{(1,2)} = s_6 \cdot s_1. \end{aligned}$$

The statement that the relations, mentioned in Theorem 2.4, are defining follows from the next theorem. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *Each element $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$, $s \neq \mathbf{1}$, has a normal form, that is, it is equal to one and the only one element of the following form*

$$s = \begin{cases} s_i^n, & i = 1, 2, 3, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ s_4^a \cdot s_6^m \cdot s_7^n, & 0 \leq a \leq 2, \quad m \geq 0, n \geq 0, \quad a + m + n > 0, \\ s_1^n \cdot s_2, & n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ s_1^n \cdot s_6^m, & m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ s_1^n \cdot s_5 \cdot s_6^m, & m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0, \\ s_1^n \cdot s_4 \cdot s_6^m, & m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $s \notin \Sigma_{3,1}^{S_3}$, then it is obvious that s is equal either s_i^n , $i = 1, 2, 3$, or $s_4^a \cdot s_6^m \cdot s_7^n$.

Let $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}^{S_3}$. If $s \in S_{T_3,1}$, then by Clebsch – Hurwitz Theorem $s = h_{3,g}$ for some g .

Let $s = s' \cdot s''$, where $s' = x_{(1,2,3)}^{k_1} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^{k_2}$ and $s'' \in S_{T_3}$. Applying relations (30) – (33), we can assume that $s' = x_{(1,2,3)}^k$ for $k = k_1 + k_2$. If $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then by relations in Theorem 2.4, we have $s = s_1^n \cdot s_6^m$. If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $s' = s_6^m \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}$ and $x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot s'' \in \Sigma_{3,1}$. By Theorem 2.4, $x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot s'' = s_5 \cdot s_1^n$ for some $n \geq 0$. Similarly, if $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $s' = s_6^m \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}^2$ and $x_{(1,2,3)}^2 \cdot s'' \in \Sigma_{3,1}$. Applying relations (30) – (33), we get $x_{(1,2,3)}^2 \cdot s'' = x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot s_1'' = s_4 \cdot s_1''$ for some $s_1'' \in S_{T_3,1}$, and by relations in Theorem 2.4, we obtain that $s = s_1^n \cdot s_4 \cdot s_6^m$. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *Up to simultaneous conjugation, an element $\bar{s} \in \Sigma_3$ is equal either to s , where s is an element of $\Sigma_{3,1}$ described in Theorem 2.5, or to*

$$\bar{s} = \begin{cases} x_{(1,2)}^{2k+1}, & k \geq 0, \\ x_{(1,2,3)}^n \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^m, & n > m, \quad n \text{ or } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ x_{(1,2)}^n \cdot x_{(2,3)}, & n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ x_{(1,2)}^n \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}^{3m} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^a, & n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad a = 0, 1, 2, \text{ and } a \neq 0 \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. To prove Theorem 2.6, one must consider separately the following cases:

- 1) $(\mathcal{S}_3)_s = \mathcal{S}_2$;
- 2) $(\mathcal{S}_3)_s = A_3$, where A_3 is the alternating group;
- 3) $s \in S_{T_3}$, $(\mathcal{S}_3)_s = \mathcal{S}_3$, and $\alpha(s)$ is either a transposition or a cyclic permutation of length 3;
- 4) $s \notin S_{T_3}$, $(\mathcal{S}_3)_s = \mathcal{S}_3$, and $\alpha(s)$ is either a transposition or a cyclic permutation of length 3.

It is easy to see that in the first three cases s is equal (up to conjugation) respectively to 1) $x_{(1,2)}^{2k+1}$; 2) $x_{(1,2,3)}^n \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^m$, 3) $x_{(1,2)}^n \cdot x_{(2,3)}$.

In case 4) we have $s = s_1 \cdot s_2$, $s_1 \in S_{T_d}$ and s_2 is represented as a word in letters $x_{(1,2,3)}$ and $x_{(1,3,2)}$. By (30) and (31), we can assume that $s_1 = x_{(1,2)}^n$. Next, we have

$$x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}^3 = x_{(1,3,2)}^3 \cdot x_{(1,2)} = x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^3.$$

Applying these relations and (34), we obtain that $s = x_{(1,2)}^n \cdot x_{\sigma}^{3m} \cdot x_{\sigma^{-1}}^a$, where $\sigma = (1, 2, 3)$ or $(1, 3, 2)$. To complete the proof, notice that $\lambda((1, 2))(x_{\sigma}) = x_{\sigma^{-1}}$. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(\mathcal{S}_3)_s = \mathcal{S}_2$ or $\mathcal{S}_3$ for $s \in \Sigma_3$. Then s is uniquely defined up to simultaneous conjugation by its type $\tau(s)$ and the type $t(\alpha(s))$ of its image $\alpha(s) \in \mathcal{S}_3$.*

Up to simultaneous conjugation, there are exactly $\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor + 1$ different elements $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}^{A_3}$ of $\ln(s) = n$, and if $\alpha(s) \neq 1$, then there are exactly $m = -\lfloor \frac{-n}{3} \rfloor$ different elements $s \in \Sigma_3^{A_3}$ of $\ln(s) = n$.

2.6. Cayley's imbeddings. As is well-known, any finite group G can be embedded into some symmetric group. In particular, if $N = |G|$ is the order of a group G , then we can have Cayley's imbedding $c : G \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(G) \simeq \mathcal{S}_N$:

$$(g_1)\sigma_g = g_1g \quad \text{for } g, g_1 \in G, \quad c(g) = \sigma_g,$$

that is, G acts on itself by multiplication from the right side. Let us identify the group G with its image $c(G)$ and denote by $N(G)$ and $C(G)$ the normalizer and centralizer of G in $\mathcal{S}_N$, respectively. Since $N(G)$ acts on G by conjugations, we have the natural homomorphism $a : N(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $c : G \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(G) \simeq \mathcal{S}_N$ be the Cayley's imbedding of a finite group G . Then the natural homomorphism $a : N(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ has the following properties:*

- (i) *a is an epimorphism,*
- (ii) *$\ker a = C(G) \simeq G$,*
- (iii) *the group generated by G and $C(G)$ is isomorphic to the amalgamated direct product $G \times_C G$, where C is the center of G .*

Proof. Consider an automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(G)$ as a permutation $\sigma_f \in \mathcal{S}_N$ of the elements of G :

$$(g)\sigma_f = f(g) \quad \text{for } g \in G.$$

Let us show that $\sigma_f \in N(G)$. For all $g_1 \in G$ we have

$$(g_1)\sigma_f^{-1}\sigma_g\sigma_f = (f^{-1}(g_1))\sigma_g\sigma_f = (f^{-1}(g_1)g)\sigma_f = f(f^{-1}(g_1)g) = g_1f(g) = (g_1)\sigma_{f(g)},$$

that is, $\sigma_f^{-1}\sigma_g\sigma_f = \sigma_{f(g)} \in G$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $\sigma_f \in N(G)$ and, moreover, the conjugation of the elements of G by σ_f defines the automorphism f of the group G . Therefore the homomorphism a is an epimorphism.

It is obvious that $C(G) = \ker a$. Consider $\sigma \in C(G)$. We have $\sigma_g \sigma = \sigma \sigma_g$ for all $g \in G$. Therefore

$$(g_1) \sigma_g \sigma = (g_1 g) \sigma = ((g_1) \sigma) \cdot g$$

for all $g_1, g \in G$. In particular, for $g_1 = \mathbf{1}$ if we denote $(\mathbf{1}) \sigma$ by g_σ , then we have

$$(\mathbf{1}) \sigma_g \sigma = (g) \sigma = g_\sigma g$$

for all $g \in G$. The equality $(g) \sigma = g_\sigma g$ shows that σ acts on G as multiplication in G from the left side by the element $g_\sigma \in G$. Obviously, the multiplications by elements of G from the left side and from the right side commute. Therefore $C(G) \simeq G$.

Remind that, by definition, the group G acts on itself by the multiplication from the right side. It is easy to see from this that the group generated by G and $C(G)$ is isomorphic to the amalgamated direct product $G \times_C G$, where C is the center of G . $\square$

Any imbedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$ defines an imbedding $S(G, O) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_d$. Let $c : S_G = S(G, G) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_d$ be the imbedding of semigroups defined by Cayley's imbedding $c : G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_N$. Theorem 2.7 implies the following

Corollary 2.5. *The orbits of conjugation action of $\mathcal{S}_N$ on Σ_N intersecting $S(G, G)$ are in one to one correspondence with the orbits of the action $\text{Aut}(G)$ on $S(G, G)$.*

3. HURWITZ SPACES

3.1. Marked Riemannian surfaces. Let $f : C \rightarrow D_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq R\}$ be a Riemannian surface, that is, f is a finite proper continuous ramified covering of the disc $D_R = \{|z| \leq R\}$ (or $\mathbb{P}^1$ if $R = \infty$) of degree d branched at finite number of points in $D_R^0 = D_R \setminus \partial D_R = \{|z| < R\}$ (it is not assumed that C is necessary to be connected). Two coverings (C', f') and (C'', f'') of D_R are said to be isomorphic if there is a homeomorphism $h : C' \rightarrow C''$ preserving the orientation and such that $f' = h \circ f''$, and they are said to be *equivalent* if there are preserving orientations homeomorphisms $\psi : D_R \rightarrow D_R$ and $\varphi : C' \rightarrow C''$ such that ψ leaves fixed the boundary ∂D_R and $\psi \circ f' = f'' \circ \varphi$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}_{R,d}$ the set of equivalence classes of the coverings of D_R of degree d with respect to this equivalence.

Let $q_1, \dots, q_b \in D_R^0$ be the points over which f is ramified. Let us fix the point $o = o_R = e^{\frac{3}{2}\pi i} R \in \partial D_R$ (if $R = \infty$, then, by definition, $o_\infty = \infty = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{C}$) and number the points in $f^{-1}(o)$. A numbering of the points in $f^{-1}(o)$ defines an order on the points in $f^{-1}(o)$. Such coverings (C, f) with fixed point $o \in D_R$ and fixed ordering of the points of $f^{-1}(o)$ will be called coverings with *ordered set of sheets* or a *marked coverings*. We say that marked coverings $(C', f')_m$ and $(C'', f'')_m$ are *equivalent* if there are homeomorphisms $\psi : D_R \rightarrow D_R$ and $\varphi : C' \rightarrow C''$ preserving orientations and such that

- (i) ψ leaves fixed the boundary ∂D_R ;
- (ii) $\varphi(p'_i) = p''_i \in f''^{-1}(o)$ for each $p'_i \in f'^{-1}(o)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$;
- (iii) $\psi \circ f' = f'' \circ \varphi$.

Denote by $\mathcal{R}_{R,d}^m$ the set of equivalence classes of the marked coverings of D_R of degree d with respect to this equivalence. Renumberings of sheets define an action of the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_d$ on $\mathcal{R}_{R,d}^m$ and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}_{R,d} = \mathcal{R}_{R,d}^m / \mathcal{S}_d$.

If $R_1 < R_2 < \infty$, then any ramified covering $f : C \rightarrow D_{R_1}$ can be extended to a ramified covering $\tilde{f} : \tilde{C} \rightarrow D_{R_2}$ non-ramified over $D_{R_2} \setminus D_{R_1}$. The lift of the path

$$l(t) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\pi i}(R_2 t + (1-t)R_1) \subset D_{R_2} \setminus D_{R_1}^0, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

to $\tilde{C}$ defines d paths $\tilde{f}^{-1}(l(t))$ connecting the points of $f^{-1}(o_{R_1})$ and $f^{-1}(o_{R_2})$. If $(C, f)_m$ is a marked covering, then these paths transfer the order from the set $f^{-1}(o_{R_1})$ to the set $f^{-1}(o_{R_2})$. As a result, we obtain an isomorphism $i_{R_1, R_2} : \mathcal{R}_{R_1, d}^m \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R_2, d}^m$.

Similarly, for any marked covering $(C, f)_m$ of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and for any $R > 0$ there is an equivalent covering $(\bar{C}, \bar{f})_m$ those branch points belong to D_R^0 . Consider the restriction $\tilde{f}$ of $\bar{f}$ to $\tilde{C} = \bar{f}^{-1}(D_R)$. If we lift the path

$$l(t) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\pi i} R/t \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus D_R^0, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

to $\bar{C}$, then we obtain d paths $\bar{f}^{-1}(l(t))$ connecting the points of $f^{-1}(o_\infty)$ and $f^{-1}(o_R)$ which transfer the order from $\bar{f}^{-1}(o_\infty)$ to the set $\tilde{f}^{-1}(o_R)$. Obviously, the equivalence class of obtained marked covering $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{f})_m$ does not depends on the choice of a representative $(\bar{C}, \bar{f})_m$. Therefore we obtain an imbedding of $i_{\infty, R} : \mathcal{R}_{\infty, d}^m \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R, d}^m$. It is easy to see that $i_{\infty, R_2} = i_{R_1, R_2} \circ i_{\infty, R_1}$ for any $R_2 \geq R_1 > 0$.

3.2. Semigroups of marked coverings. A closed loop $\gamma \subset D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}$ starting and ending at $o = o_R$ can be lifted to C by means of f and we get d paths starting and ending at the points in $f^{-1}(o)$. Such lift of the loops defines a homomorphism (the *monodromy of marked covering*) $\mu : \pi_1(D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$ to the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_d$ (the monodromy sends starting points of the lifted paths to the ends of the corresponding paths). Conversely, if a homomorphism $\mu : \pi_1(D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$ is given, then it defines a marked covering $f : C \rightarrow D$ whose monodromy is μ .

The fundamental group $\pi_1(D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o)$ is generated by loops $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b$ of the following form. Each loop γ_i consists of a path l_i starting at o and ending at a point q'_i close to q_i , followed by a circuit in positive direction (with respect to the complex orientation on $\mathbb{C}$) around a circle Γ_i of small radius with the center at q_i , $q'_i \in \Gamma_i$, followed by the return to q_0 along the path l_i in the opposite direction; for $i \neq j$ the loops γ_i and γ_j have the only one common point, namely, o ; and the product $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_b = \partial D_R$ in $\pi_1(D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o)$. Such collection of generators is called a *good geometric base* of the group $\pi_1(D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o)$. It is well known that if $R < \infty$, then $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b$ are free generators of $\pi_1(D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o)$, that is, $\pi_1(D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o) = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b \rangle$; and if $R = \infty$, then $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b$ generate the group $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o)$ being subject to the relation $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_b = 1$.

If we choose a good geometric base $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b$, then the monodromy μ is defined by a collection of elements $\sigma_1 = \mu(\gamma_1), \dots, \sigma_n = \mu(\gamma_b) \in \mathcal{S}_d$ called *local monodromies*

and the product $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_b = \mu(\partial D)$ is called the *global monodromy* of f . It is easy to see that if $R = \infty$, then the global monodromy is equal to $\mathbf{1}$.

The collection $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_b)$ depends on the choice of a good geometric base $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b$. Any good geometric base can be obtained from $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b$ by means of a finite sequence of Hurwitz moves. In the other words, the braid group Br_b naturally acts on the set of good geometric bases of $\pi_1(D_R \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}, o)$ as the Hurwitz moves ([7]). Therefore if $(\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_b)$ is a collection corresponding to some other good geometric base $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_b$, then the collection $(\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_b)$ can be obtained from $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_b)$ by means of a finite sequence of Hurwitz moves (see subsection 1.3).

Let $R < \infty$. One can define a structure of semigroup on the set $\mathcal{R}_{R,d}^m$ as follows. Let $(C_1, f_1)_m$ and $(C_2, f_2)_m$ be two marked coverings of degree d . Let us choose two continuous preserving the orientations imbeddings $\varphi_j : D_R \rightarrow D_R$, $j = 1, 2$, of the disc D_R to itself leaving fixed the point o and such that

- (i) the image $\varphi_1(D_R) = \{u \in D_R \mid \text{Re } u \geq 0\}$ is the right halfdisc and $\varphi_1(\{u \in \partial D_R \mid \text{Re } u \leq 0\}) = \{u \in D_R \mid \text{Re } u = 0\}$ is the vertical diameter;
- (ii) $\varphi_2(D_R) = \{u \in D_R \mid \text{Re } u \leq 0\}$ is the left halfdisc and $\varphi_2(\{u \in \partial D_R \mid \text{Re } u \geq 0\}) = \{u \in D_R \mid \text{Re } u = 0\}$.

Let us identify the points belonging to the sets $f_1^{-1}(o)$ and $f_2^{-1}(o)$ by means of the orders on the sets of these points, and after that let us identify, by continuity, the points belonging to the d paths $f_1^{-1}(\{u \in \partial D_R \mid \text{Re } u \leq 0\})$ in C_1 with the points belonging to the d paths $f_2^{-1}(\{u \in \partial D_R \mid \text{Re } u \geq 0\})$ in C_2 so that the images under the mappings $\varphi_1 \circ f_1$ $\varphi_2 \circ f_2$ of the all identified points should be coincided. By means of this identification, we can glue the surfaces C_1 and C_2 along these d paths and, as a result we obtain a marked covering $(C, f)_m$, where $f(q) = \varphi_1(f_1(q))$ if $q \in C_1$ and $f(q) = \varphi_2(f_2(q))$ if $q \in C_2$. We call the obtained covering $(C, f)_m$ the *product* of marked coverings $(C_1, f_1)_m$ and $(C_2, f_2)_m$ (notation: $(C, f)_m = (C_1, f_1)_m \cdot (C_2, f_2)_m$). It is easy to see that the product introduced above defines a structure of non-commutative semigroup on $\mathcal{R}_{R,d}^m$ such that the maps i_{R_1, R_2} are isomorphisms of semigroups for all $R_1 \geq R_2 > 0$.

It is obvious that the semigroup $\mathcal{R}_d^m = \mathcal{R}_{R,d}^m$ is generated by the marked coverings $(C, f)_m$ which are coverings of the disc $D = D_R$ with a single branch point q_1 . Such coverings are defined uniquely (up to equivalence) by their global monodromy $\sigma_f = \mu(\partial D) \in \mathcal{S}_d$ where $\mu = \mu_f$ is the monodromy of the marked covering $(C, f)_m$. Therefore the number of generators is equal to $d!$. Denote by x_{σ_f} the generator of the semigroup $\mathcal{R}_d$ corresponding to a covering $(C, f)_m$ with single branch point. A simple inspection shows that in the semigroup $\mathcal{R}_d^m$ the generators x_σ satisfy the following defining relations:

$$x_{\sigma_1} \cdot x_{\sigma_2} = x_{\sigma_2} \cdot x_{(\sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2)}, \quad x_{\sigma_1} \cdot x_{\sigma_2} = x_{(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1})} \cdot x_{\sigma_1},$$

and $x_{\sigma_1} \cdot x_{\mathbf{1}} = x_{\sigma_1}$, $x_{\mathbf{1}} \cdot x_{\sigma_2} = x_{\sigma_2}$ for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{S}_d$.

It is easy to check that if a marked covering $(C, f)_m$ is equal to $x_{\sigma_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma_n}$ in $\mathcal{R}_d^m$, then its global monodromy $\sigma_f = \mu(\partial D)$ is equal to the product $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$ and it

is obvious that the comparison to each marked covering its global monodromy defines a homomorphism from $\mathcal{R}_d^m$ to the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_d$. Denote this homomorphism by $\alpha : \mathcal{R}_d^m \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$.

Renumberings of the sheets of the marked coverings define an action of $\mathcal{S}_d$ on $\mathcal{R}_d^m$. Namely, an element $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{S}_d$ acts on the generators x_σ by the following rule: $x_\sigma \mapsto x_{(\sigma_0^{-1}\sigma\sigma_0)}$. This action defines a homomorphism $\lambda : \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_d^m)$. Therefore we obtain the following

Proposition 3.1. *The semigroup $\mathcal{R}_d^m$ as a semigroup over $\mathcal{S}_d$ is naturally isomorphic to Σ_d .*

According to Proposition 3.1, we call the elements of Σ_d *monodromy factorizations* of the coverings of degree d .

It is easy to see that the kernel $\ker \alpha = \mathcal{R}_{d,1}^m = \{(C, f)_m \in \mathcal{R}_d^m \mid \sigma_f = \mathbf{1}\}$ is a subsemigroup in $\mathcal{R}_d^m$ isomorphic to $\Sigma_{d,1}$ and if the disc D is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^1$, then the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{d,1}^m$ are the marked coverings $f : C \rightarrow D$ for which there are extensions to marked coverings $\tilde{f} : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ non-ramified over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus D$. Note that the extension $\tilde{f} : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ of a marked covering $f : C \rightarrow D$ with the global monodromy $\mu_f(\partial D) = \mathbf{1}$ is defined uniquely up to equivalence.

The inverse statement is also true: the image of $\mathcal{R}_{\infty,d}^m$ under the imbedding $i_{\infty,R}$ coincides with $\mathcal{R}_{d,1}^m$. In the sequel we will identify $\mathcal{R}_{\infty,d}^m$ with the semigroup $\mathcal{R}_{d,1}^m$ by means of this isomorphism. As a result, we have the following

Proposition 3.2. *On the set of equivalence classes of marked coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree d there is a natural semigroup structure isomorphic to $\Sigma_{d,1}$.*

3.3. Hurwitz spaces of marked Riemannian surfaces. In this subsection we describe the Hurwitz spaces $\text{HUR}_d^m(D)$ of marked ramified degree d coverings of $D = D_R$ considered up to isomorphisms. The space $\text{HUR}_d^m(D) = \bigsqcup_{b=0}^{\infty} \text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D)$ is the disjoint union of the spaces of coverings branched at b points, $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

As in [3], let us consider the symmetric product $D^{(b)}$ of b copied of $D^0 = D \setminus \partial D$. It is a complex manifold of dimension b obtained as the quotient of the cartesian product $D^b = D^0 \times \cdots \times D^0$ (with b factors) under the action of $\mathcal{S}_b$ which permutes the factors. The points of $D^{(b)}$ will be identified with the sets of unordered b -tuples of points of D^0 . Those b -tuples which contain fewer than b distinct points form the *discriminant locus* Δ of $D^{(b)}$.

For a point $B_0 = \{q_{1,0}, \dots, q_{b,0}\} \in D^{(b)} \setminus \Delta$ let us fix the ordered subset $B_0 = \{q_{1,0}, \dots, q_{b,0}\} \subset D$ and choose a good geometric base $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b$ of $\pi_1(D \setminus B_0, o)$. Then any word w of the set of words W_b of length b in the letters x_σ , $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$, defines a marked covering $f = f_w : C \rightarrow D$ branched over B_0 and whose monodromy is μ such that $\mu(\gamma_i) = \sigma_i$, where x_{σ_i} is a letter in w standing at the i -th place.

The choice of a good geometric base allow us to choose the standard generators $a_1, \dots, a_{b-1}$ in $\pi_1(D^{(b)} \setminus \Delta, B_0) \simeq \text{Br}_b$ so that this choice defines an action of Br_b on

the set of words W_b (see subsection 1.3). In the other words, this choice defines a homomorphism $\theta_{d,b,R} : \pi_1(D^{(b)} \setminus \Delta, B_0) \simeq \text{Br}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_N$, where $N = (d!)^b$.

The homomorphism $\theta_{d,b,R}$ allows us to define the space $\text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D)$ as an unramified covering $h_{d,b,R} : \text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D) \rightarrow D^{(b)} \setminus \Delta$ associated with $\theta_{d,b,R}$. Indeed, if we fix a marked covering $f : C \rightarrow D$ with monodromy μ such that $\mu(\gamma_i) = \sigma_i$, then any path $\delta(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, in $D^{(b)}$ starting at B_0 can be lifted to D and we obtain b paths $\delta_i(t)$ in D starting at the points $q_{1,0}, \dots, q_{b,0}$. These paths define (up to isotopy) a continuous family of homeomorphisms $\bar{\delta}_t : D \setminus B_0 \rightarrow D \setminus \{\delta_1(t), \dots, \delta_b(t)\}$ leaving fixed the boundary ∂D such that $\bar{\delta}_0 = Id$ and we can consider a continuous family of marked coverings $f_t : C_t \rightarrow D$ branched at $\delta_1(t), \dots, \delta_b(t)$ and given by monodromy μ_t such that $\mu_t(\bar{\delta}_{t*}(\gamma_i)) = \sigma_i$. It is obvious that if $\delta(t)$ is a loop, then the collection $(\mu_1(\gamma_1), \dots, \mu_1(\gamma_b))$ is Hurwitz equivalent to $(\mu_0(\gamma_1), \dots, \mu_0(\gamma_b))$. Therefore *the points of the covering space $\text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D)$ of the covering $h_{d,b,R} : \text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D) \rightarrow D^{(b)} \setminus \Delta$ naturally parametrize all the marked coverings of D of degree d branched at b points.* The degree of the covering $h_{d,b,R}$ is equal to $(d!)^b$. As a result, we obtain the following

Proposition 3.3. *The irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D)$ are in one to one correspondence with the elements s of the semigroup Σ_d of length $\text{ln}(s) = b$.*

There is a natural structure of a semigroup on the set of irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_d^m(D)$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_d \simeq \Sigma_d$.

For $R_2 \geq R_1 > 0$ we have the imbedding $D_{R_1}^{(b)} \hookrightarrow D_{R_2}^{(b)}$ and it is easy to see that the restriction of h_{d,b,R_2} to $h_{d,b,R_2}^{-1}(D_{R_1}^{(b)} \setminus \Delta)$ can be identified with $h_{d,b,R_1} : \text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D_{R_1}) \rightarrow D_{R_1}^{(b)} \setminus \Delta$ by means of i_{R_1,R_2} .

According to Proposition 3.3, we will denote by $\text{HUR}_{d,s}^m(D)$ the irreducible component of $\text{HUR}_{d,\text{ln}(s)}^m(D)$ corresponding to an element $s \in \Sigma_d$. In particular, the global monodromy $\sigma_f = \mu(\partial D) = \alpha(s) \in \mathcal{S}_d$ is an invariant of the irreducible component $\text{HUR}_{d,s}^m(D)$. Put

$$\text{HUR}_{d,b,\sigma}^m(D) = \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha(s) = \sigma \\ \text{ln}(s) = b}} \text{HUR}_{d,s}^m(D).$$

It follows from consideration above that

$$\text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(\mathbb{P}^1) = \bigcup_{R>0} \text{HUR}_{d,b,1}^m(D_R).$$

For a fixed type t of elements $s \in \Sigma_d$ let us denote also by

$$\text{HUR}_{d,t}^m(D) = \bigcup_{\tau(s)=t} \text{HUR}_{d,s}^m(D)$$

and put

$$\text{HUR}_{d,t,\sigma}^m(D) = \text{HUR}_{d,t}^m(D) \cap \text{HUR}_{d,\sigma}^m(D).$$

As it was mentioned above, a marked covering $f : C \rightarrow D$ of degree d branched at the points $q_1, \dots, q_b$ defines (and is defined) by monodromy $\mu : \pi_1(D \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$. The image $\mu(\pi_1(D \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_b\})) = \text{Gal}(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_d$ is called the *Galois group* of the covering f . It is easy to see that $\text{Gal}(f) = (\mathcal{S}_d)_s$ if the covering f belongs to $\text{HUR}_{d,s}^m(D)$. It is not hard to show that the covering space C of a marked covering $(C, f)_m$ is connected if and only if the Galois group $\text{Gal}(f)$ acts transitively on the set $I_d = [1, d]$.

Denote by $\text{HUR}_d^{m,G}(D)$ the union of irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_d^m(D)$ consisting of the coverings with the Galois group $\text{Gal}(f) = G \subset \mathcal{S}_d$ and put $\text{HUR}_{d,t}^{m,G}(D) = \text{HUR}_d^{m,G}(D) \cap \text{HUR}_{d,t}^m(D)$ and $\text{HUR}_{d,t,\sigma}^{m,G}(D) = \text{HUR}_{d,t}^{m,G}(D) \cap \text{HUR}_{d,t,\sigma}^m(D)$.

By Corollary 2.2, we have

Theorem 3.1. *Let the type t of monodromy factorization contains k transpositions. If $k \geq 3(d-1)$ then each irreducible component of $\text{HUR}_{d,t}^{m,\mathcal{S}_d}(D)$ is uniquely defined by the global monodromy $\sigma_f = \mu(\partial D) \in \mathcal{S}_d$ of $(C, f)_m$ belonging to this irreducible component.*

3.4. Hurwitz spaces of (non-marked) coverings of the disc. To obtain Hurwitz space $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(D)$ of degree d coverings of a disc $D = D_R$ branched over b points lying in D^0 , we must identify all marked coverings of D differ only in numberings of sheets. The renumberings of sheets induces the action of $\mathcal{S}_d$ on the marked fibres. Remind that the actions of Br_b and $\mathcal{S}_d$ on W_b commute. Therefore this action of $\mathcal{S}_d$ induces an action on $\text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D)$ and we obtain that the space $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(D)$ is the quotient space: $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(D) = \text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D)/\mathcal{S}_d$. From this it follows

Proposition 3.4. *The irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(D)$ are in one to one correspondence with the orbits of the action of $\mathcal{S}_d$ by simultaneous conjugation on $\Sigma_{d,b} = \{s \in \Sigma_d \mid \text{ln}(s) = b\}$.*

If $f : C \rightarrow D$ is a non-marked covering, then we can also define the Galois group as $\text{Gal}(f) = (\mathcal{S}_d)_s$. But in this case the subgroup $\text{Gal}(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_d$ is defined uniquely only up to inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}_d$.

In the sequel we denote by $\text{HUR}_{\cdot,\cdot,\cdot}(D)$ (resp., $\text{HUR}_{\cdot,\cdot,\cdot}^G(D)$) the image of introduced above subspaces $\text{HUR}_{\cdot,\cdot,\cdot}^m(D)$ (resp., $\text{HUR}_{\cdot,\cdot,\cdot}^{m,G}(D)$) of $\text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D)$ under the canonical map

$$\text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D) \rightarrow \text{HUR}_{d,b}(D) = \text{HUR}_{d,b}^m(D)/\mathcal{S}_d.$$

In particular, we have $\text{HUR}_{d,s_1}(D) = \text{HUR}_{d,s_2}(D)$ if and only if there is $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_d$ such that $\lambda(\sigma)(s_1) = s_2$.

Corollary 2.4 gives us a complete description of irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(D)$ in the case $d = 3$.

Corollary 3.1. *The irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_{3,b}^G(D)$ are uniquely defined by the monodromy factorization type and the type of global monodromy if $G \simeq \mathcal{S}_2$ or $\mathcal{S}_3$.*

The space $\text{HUR}_{3,b}^{A_3}(D)$ consists of $m = \lfloor \frac{b}{6} \rfloor + 1$ irreducible components if the global monodromy is equal to $\mathbf{1}$ and it consists of $m = -\lfloor \frac{b}{3} \rfloor$ irreducible components if the global monodromy is not equal to $\mathbf{1}$.

3.5. Hurwitz spaces of (non-marked) coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$. In [3], Hurwitz spaces $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of coverings of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree d , branched over b points, were described as non-ramified coverings of the complement of the discriminant locus Δ in the symmetric product $\mathbb{P}^{(b)}$ of b copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$. The choice of a point $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and the identification $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ defines an imbedding of $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(D_\infty)$ into $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ as an everywhere dense open subset. So we get the following

Proposition 3.5. *The irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are in one to one correspondence with the orbits of the action of $\mathcal{S}_d$ by simultaneous conjugation on $\Sigma_{d,\mathbf{1},b} = \{s \in \Sigma_{d,\mathbf{1}} \mid \text{ln}(s) = b\}$.*

As in subsection 3.4, we can introduced the unions $\text{HUR}_{\cdot,\cdot,\cdot}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ (resp., $\text{HUR}_{\cdot,\cdot,\cdot}^G(\mathbb{P}^1)$) of irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_{d,b}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ for fixed elements of $\Sigma_{b,\mathbf{1}}$, for fixed types of monodromy factorizations, fixed Galois groups, and so on.

As a consequence of Proposition 1.1 we have

Theorem 3.2. *There is a natural structure of the semigroup $\Sigma_{d,\mathbf{1}}^{S_d} = \{s \in \Sigma_{d,\mathbf{1}} \mid (\mathcal{S}_d)_s = S_d\}$ on the set of irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_d^{S_d}(\mathbb{P}^1)$.*

Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 give us the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.3. *The space $\text{HUR}_{d,t}^{S_d}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is irreducible if the monodromy factorization type t contains more than $3(d-1)$ transpositions.*

Theorem 3.4. *The irreducible components of $\text{HUR}_{3,b}^G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are uniquely defined by the monodromy factorization type if $G \simeq \mathcal{S}_2$ or $\mathcal{S}_3$.*

The space $\text{HUR}_{3,b}^{A_3}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ consists of $m = \lfloor \frac{b}{6} \rfloor + 1$ irreducible components.

According to Theorems 3.3, 3.4, and Clebsch – Hurwitz Theorem, one can hope that the space $\text{HUR}_{d,t}^{S_d}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is irreducible always for a fixed monodromy factorization type t . The following theorem also confirms this conjecture.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{S}_d$ be a transposition and $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{S}_d$ be a cycle of length d . Then the space $\text{HUR}_{d,t}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is irreducible for fixed type t of the form $([2], t(\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}), [d])$.*

There are exactly $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ different types of such form.

Proof. If the type of $s \in \Sigma_d$ is $([2], t(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1), [d])$, then $\text{ln}(s) = 3$ and hence $\text{HUR}_{d,t}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is unramified covering of $\mathbb{P}^{(3)} \setminus \Delta$.

By Theorem 3.4, we can assume that $d \geq 4$.

Let us show that there are at least $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ different elements $s \in \Sigma_d$ of the form $s = x_{\sigma_1} \cdot x_{\sigma_2} \cdot x_{\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1}$. For this it suffices to show that there are $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ different types for the elements of $\mathcal{S}_d$ of the form $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1$. Indeed, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\sigma_2^{-1} = (1, 2)(2, 3) \dots (d-1, d)$ and $\sigma_1 = (i, d)$. Then the type of

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1 &= (1, 2)(2, 3) \dots (d-1, d)(i, d) = \\ &= (1, 2) \dots (d-2, d-1)(i, d-1)(d-1, d) = \dots = \\ &= (1, 2) \dots (i-1, i)(i+1, i+2) \dots (d-1, d), \end{aligned}$$

is $[i, d-i]$ for $i = 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ and $[d-1]$ for $i = 1$. In particular, the element $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1$ is not conjugated with σ_1 nor with σ_2 if $d \geq 4$.

Consider the set U of words $w \in W$ consisting of three letters x_i, x_j, x_k , where $x_i = x_{\sigma_1}$, $x_j = x_{\sigma_2}$, and $x_k = x_\eta$, where η is equal to either $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1$ or $\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}$ (depending on the position of the letter x_k in the word w so to have $\alpha(w) = \mathbf{1}$). Since the number of different transpositions is equal to $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$, the number of different cycles σ_2 of length d is equal to $(d-1)!$, and the element x_k is uniquely defined by the positions of the letters x_i, x_j , and x_k in the word w and by σ_1 and σ_2 , then we have

$$\#U = 6 \frac{d(d-1)}{2} (d-1)! = 3d!(d-1). \quad (35)$$

Consider two words w_1 and w_2 of U consisting, respectively, of letters $x_{i_1} = x_{\sigma_1}$, $x_{j_1} = x_{\sigma_2}$, $x_{k_1} = x_\eta$ and $x_{i_2} = x_{\hat{\sigma}_1}$, $x_{j_2} = x_{\hat{\sigma}_2}$, $x_{k_2} = x_{\hat{\eta}}$. It is easy to see that the words w_1 and w_2 do not belong to the same orbit of the action of $\mathcal{S}_d$ by simultaneous conjugation if $t(\eta) \neq t(\hat{\eta})$. Therefore in U there exist at least $\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$ different orbits of this action. Let us fix a word $w \in U$ and count the number of elements belonging to the orbit of w . It is easy to see that the stabilizer of the letter x_{σ_2} is the cyclic subgroup Z_{σ_2} of $\mathcal{S}_d$ generated by σ_2 . The transposition σ_1 is fixed under the conjugation by σ_2^n for $n \in [1, d-1]$ only if $d = 2n$ and in this case the order of the stabilizer of w is less or equal 2. Like in the computation of the number of different types of permutations of the form $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1$, one can show that if $d = 2n$ and $\sigma_2^{-n}\sigma_1\sigma_2^n = \sigma_1$, then $t(\eta) = [n, n]$. We have

$$\#U \geq 6 \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor d! = 3d!(d-1) \quad (36)$$

if d is odd and if $d = 2n$ is even, then

$$\#U \geq 6(\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1)d! + 6 \frac{d!}{2} = 3d!((2n-1) + 1) = 3d!(d-1). \quad (37)$$

It follows from (35) – (37) that the orbit under the simultaneous conjugation of an element s of type $\tau(s) = ([2], t(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1), [d])$ is uniquely defined by its type. Therefore the space $\text{HUR}_{d,t}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is irreducible for fixed type $t = ([2], t(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1), [d])$ and the number of such components is equal to $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$. $\square$

3.6. Hurwitz spaces of Galois coverings. Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a Galois covering with Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(C/\mathbb{P}^1)$, that is, G is the deck transformation group of the covering f and the quotient space $C/G = \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case we have $\deg f = |G|$ and if we fix a point $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ over which f is not ramified and fix a point $e \in f^{-1}(\infty)$, then the action of G on $f^{-1}(\infty)$ defines a numbering of the points in $f^{-1}(\infty)$ by the elements of G . If we choose also a numbering of the points in $f^{-1}(\infty)$ by the numbers belonging to the segment $I_{|G|} = [1, |G|]$, then these numberings define an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{|G|}$. It is easy to see that this is Cayley's embedding. Therefore the Hurwitz space $\text{HUR}^G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of Galois coverings with the Galois group G can be identified with $\text{HUR}_{|G|,1}^G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and, in particular, the natural map

$$\text{HUR}_{|G|,1}^{m,G}(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \text{HUR}_{|G|,1}^G(\mathbb{P}^1) = \text{HUR}^G(\mathbb{P}^1) \quad (38)$$

is surjective unramified morphism.

Theorem 3.6. *The irreducible components of $\text{HUR}^G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are in one to one correspondence with the orbits of the elements $s \in S_G^G \subset S(G, G)$ under the action of $\text{Aut}(G)$ on $S(G, G)$.*

If $\text{Aut}(G) = G$, then there is a natural structure of the semigroup $S_{G,1}^G$ on the set of irreducible components of $\text{HUR}^G(\mathbb{P}^1)$.

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from Corollary 2.5.

To prove the second part, note that the equality $\text{Aut}(G) = G$ means that any automorphism of G is inner. By Proposition 1.1, the elements of $S_{G,1}^G$ are fixed under the action of G by simultaneous conjugation. Therefore, by Corollary 2.5, natural map (38) is an isomorphism which gives the desired structure of semigroup on $\text{HUR}^G(\mathbb{P}^1)$. $\square$

In particular, Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 2.4 imply

Theorem 3.7. *The irreducible components of the Hurwitz space $\text{HUR}^{S_3}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of Galois coverings with Galois group $G = S_3$ are defined uniquely by the monodromy factorization type of coverings belonging to them.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Clebsch: *Zür Theorie der Riemann'schen Fläche*. Math. Ann., 6 (1872), 216 – 230.
- [2] A. Hurwitz: *Ueber Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*. Math. Ann., 39, (1981), 1 – 61.
- [3] W. Fulton: *Hurwitz schemes and irreducibility of moduli of algebraic curves*. Ann. of Math., **90:3** (1969), 542 – 575.
- [4] M. Fried and R. Biggers: *Moduli spaces of covers and the Hurwitz monodromy group*. J. Reine Angew Math., 335 (1982), 87 – 121.
- [5] M.D. Fried and H. Völklein: *The inverse Galois problem and rational points on moduli space*. Math. Ann., 290, (1991), 771 – 800.
- [6] V. Kanev: *Hurwitz spaces of Galois coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$, whose Galois groups are Weyl groups*. J. Algebra 305 (2006), no. 1, 442 – 456.

- [7] B. Moishezon and M. Teicher: *Braid group technique in complex geometry. I. Line arrangements in CP^2* . Braids (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), 425–555, Contemp. Math., 78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [8] V. Kharlamov and Vik.S. Kulikov: *On braid monodromy factorizations*. Izv. Math. **67:3** (2003), 499 – 534.
- [9] D. Auroux: *A stable classification of Lefschetz fibrations*. Geom. Topol. 9 (2005), 203–217 (electronic).
- [10] Vik. Kulikov: *Hurwitz curves*. UMN, 2007, 62:6(378), 3 - 86.
- [11] Yu.A. Kuz'min: *On a method constructing C -groups*. Izv. Math. **59:4** (1995), 765 – 784.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
E-mail address: kulikov@mi.ras.ru